# EXHIBIT 19

## In The Matter Of:

State Election Board Meeting

September 28, 2022

Steven Ray Green Court Reporting LLC
1579 Monroe Drive, NE
Suite F, Number 614
Atlanta, Georgia 30324
404-733-6070

Original File 9-28-22 SOS Board Meeting.prn
Min-U-Script® with Word Index

#### THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

STATE OF GEORGIA

IN THE MATTER OF: STATE ELECTION BOARD HEARING

GEORGIA STATE CAPITOL BUILDING ROOM 341 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30334

> SEPTEMBER 28, 2022 9:00 A.M.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. William S. Duffey, Jr. Chair, State Election Board

STEVEN RAY GREEN COURT REPORTING, LLC Rebecca Barr, CCR Atlanta, Georgia

#### APPEARANCE OF THE PANEL

Mr. William S. Duffey, Jr., Chair

Mr. Matthew Mashburn, Member

Mrs. Sara Tindall Ghazal, Member

Mr. Edward Lindsey, Member

Dr. Janice W. Johnston, Member

### Transcript Legend

(sic) - Exactly as said.

(ph.) - Exact spelling unknown.

-- Break in speech continuity.

... Indicates halting speech, unfinished sentence or omission of word(s) when reading.

Quoted material is typed as spoken.

Inaudible - unable to be heard.

Unintelligible - incapable of being understood.

PROCEEDINGS

MR. DUFFEY: All right. Good morning, everybody. Is this is working? Can you hear me?

MR. MASHBURN: Yes.

MR. DUFFEY: Okay. I want to call to order the meeting of the State Election Board on this September 28th of 2022. Thank you for all being here, including those that are watching us by the livestream. As you know, we begin usually with an invocation and a Pledge of Allegiance. And I'm going to do that myself today.

Invocations in -- in the various jobs
that I've had in public service I think serve
the purpose that one, that it ought to reach
across all religions and all beliefs. But it
is the purpose I think of an invocation is
before a meeting especially to make people
think is there a higher purpose in our lives.
And is there a higher purpose with respect to
the -- to the content and -- and the meeting
that is being conducted.

So I had a chance a couple of years ago to be on the Island of Iona in Scotland. And

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there in Scotland when we were walking towards the Bay of Columba. The person who was leading us read us a poem and I'm going to read you that poem. It's by R. S. Thomas. would ask you to just think about the words. You can view it as a prayer, if you'd like. You can view it as just something that's reflective, if you'd like. It's up to you. But the poem one, is beautifully written. It's R. S. Thomas was probably if you asked him, he considered himself more a poet than a theologian. But he was credentialed as a theologian, as well. And this is the poem: It's called The Bright Field. I've seen the sun break through to illuminate a small field. For a while and gone my way and forgotten it. But that was the pearl of great price. The one field that had treasure in it. I realize now that I must give all that I have to possess it. Life is not hurrying on to a receiving future. hankering after the imagined past. It is the turning aside like Moses to the miracle of the lit bush to a brightness that seemed as

transitory as your youth once. But is the

eternity that awaits you.

And I think that puts in perspective of the issues that we're going to talk about today. But there -- it is a point in time of our lives, an important time in the history of our state and our country. And that we ought to consider how this point informs both.

So with that, if you'll all stand, we'll recite the Pledge of Allegiance.

(Pledge of Allegiance)

MR. DUFFEY: Be seated please. This is a different sort of meeting by -- for the Board. It was something that occurred to us after our last marathon nine-hour meeting. Where we went over a lot of complaints and made decisions. And I can't -- and I felt as if there was a need for an opportunity to communicate more fundamentally about things that were known to us. That I think are important to be known to you. And that we ought to have a meeting which I have called within -- within the Board in our discussions, a -- a informational meeting.

But I want -- but before we do the presentations, I want to first tell you an

incident that had a fundamental impact on me as a lawyer. I've been a lawyer for a long time. But when I was very young, I had a case that taught me two important things which has guided us I think collectively as a Board but has certainly guided me in my life in my public duties.

So when I was a very young lawyer, one of the first cases I had was a case in Florida where I was to go down and try the case. I was -- at that time I was trying cases exclusively. And my job was to go down to -- to Florida and try this case on the coast. It was a criminal case. I was going to prosecute the case. But I was going to do it in a place where I wasn't living. I was a travelling lawyer. And so I'd go from location to location.

And when I got there, I knew enough about the case but when I got there, I talked to not only the defense counsel, but also to the local prosecutor who was helping me and had worked up the case to try. And I was there a week before to get prepared to actually do that. And it was interesting because I had

1 these two people with two fundamentally 2 different views of the single issue. 3 (Phone ringing) 4 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: They're buying lunch. MR. DUFFEY: In the court I would take 5 6 that away, but I don't think I can do that in 7 this position. And anybody else who have 8 their phones on, if you would silence that would -- that would be a good idea. 9 10 So anyway back -- back to Florida. have -- I have the defense counsel and I had 11 12 my local prosecutor who had this fundamentally different view of a particular issue in the 13 14 case and that was the defendant's alibi. 15 this was a woman who had been charged with 16 selling quaaludes which was the drug of choice at the time, cocaine and marijuana, fairly 17 18 significant amounts. We had three counts against her. And one of the counts the 19 20 defense lawyer had raised an alibi defense. 21 An alibi defense is a -- is a law 22 defense, legal defense, and once it's raised, 23 if there's a credible basis for the alibi, then the government has to prove the element 24 25 of the offense. Which is they did, in fact,

the defendant was present when the crime was committed and committed the crime. So that's the law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I realized at that point when I had this contest that I had to always be cognizant that it was -- it was the government's burden of proof to prove that she was present and had the intent to commit the crime. Here was the problem and it was interesting because the prosecutor, the local prosecutor and defense lawyer totally agreed upon this. They said there was a woman, the alibi witness was going to testify that on the date of one of the charges that they were together not where the crime occurred. But in a city that was about an hour and a half away visiting a place in Florida called Wakulla Springs. You've heard of that it's sort of a resort sort of place where people travel for recreational purposes. But it's -- but it's -- I found out it's also a significant wedding venue. A lot of people get married at Wakulla Springs.

So I said, I need to know the facts of this case if I have the duty to follow the law. And they said, well, she's very

believable. She tells about the day that they went which was the date in which the drug transaction had occurred. And I said, well, I know that that's your evaluation, but I need - - if I'm -- if I am, in fact, going to think about giving up a count because I believe the alibi witness is telling the truth then I've got to talk to her myself.

So we -- so we spent about an hour and a half talking to the most delightful, honest witness that I have ever had in a criminal case that was testifying on behalf of the defendant. But I couldn't understand why because the evidence of her presence was so strong. I couldn't understand why we had this conflicting evidence by somebody that I thought was incredibly believable and was trying to be as honest and forthcoming as she could.

So as we neared the end of the interview, I said, well, how -- how do I really know she was there. Not that I didn't believe her, but I just thought that I had to get more detail about the visit. And so I said, tell me about Wakulla Springs. Tell me about the weather.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The weather matched perfectly with the weather information that we had. How long did it take you to get there? She said who drove. She remembered -- she remembered all of that. And then I said, can you describe Wakulla Springs and she -- I hadn't been there, but I had enough information to -- to believe that that was an accurate description.

And then she said, and one of the things I really liked was the gazebo. I said, well, tell me about the gazebo. And she said, it's a gazebo. It was white, you know, it's kind of round. And I said, why did that -- why do you remember that? And she said, because of the wedding that was in the gazebo on that weekend. I said, well, tell me about the wedding. She said, God, it was beautiful. The bride had a long white dress. She had flowers that were blue and white. And -- and actually I think she had shoes, and she was taken by the fact that all this matched. Told about the husband, about the groom and what he wore. It was a traditional tux.

To me hearing that not only is she credible, but she's got good recollection of

the details of that day. And so I thanked her for coming in. Thanked her for her forthcoming description of everything that had happened. And then we left. And I -- and I told the -- the younger fellow who was helping me, I wasn't all that old, so he was -- actually he might have been older. I said, you know, we need to call the venue to at least confirm that there was a wedding that day.

He said, well, that's a good idea. I haven't done that yet. So we got the number for the manager of Wakulla Springs. And asked him was there a wedding. He had a book and said, well, let me check. He went through it. On that date that she said they were there, there was a wedding. And so all of this is, you know, we're trying to be fair and honest in this. But everything is lining up with respect to this alibi. So then I asked him this question: Do you -- is there anybody there that can tell me about the wedding? And he said, well, yes. I said, well, who that is. And he said, well, that's me. I said, well, why do you know about it? He said,

because one, I'm the wedding coordinator. And I'm the florist. So I'm intricately involved in all the details of the wedding.

And he said, and this book that I'm reading out of it shows the date of the wedding on the date that you asked about has - I keep notes about the weddings, because I want to remember. And I said, okay. Well, go to the date that I gave him that she was supposed to have been there. I said, well, tell me about the wedding on that day. And he said, it's really interesting because I remember that really distinctly because it was unusual.

And I said, well, what was unusual about it? He said there was -- there were two things that one, as the florist I didn't think was in line with what you should do on a wedding in that -- in that season. And the second was that we didn't often have this.

And I said, so what are the two things. He said, one, the bride was getting remarried and refused to wear a long white dress and she wanted a beige cocktail dress. And I said, so what's the other interesting thing? He said,

she insisted even though it was in the Spring of having fall colored flowers to go with her dress. I said, what do you mean by fall colors? Orange, brown, some yellow. And I said, what date was that wedding on? And he gave me the date which was the date that they were supposed to have been there. I said, well, can you look in your book and tell me about a wedding where there was a -- was there a wedding in which a woman wore a long white dress, had blue flowers and might have even worn blue shoes. He said that was the following weekend.

Completely honest witness. Simply wrong on the dates. And, in fact, it showed that our evidence was that she had, in fact, been present had no alibi and she was convicted of that offense. And why is that important? I think as a lawyer, and I think as all of us in life should do two things. One is to make sure we understand those things that guide and are required to be followed in an environment. We do that every day. We abide by laws. We know what the laws are. Sometimes we don't strictly abide by the laws because we have

some other motivation. But for the important laws we know what they are, and we abide by them.

And then second, the facts always have to show based upon the law that they support the law and the conduct that was abiding by the law or conduct that did not abide by the law. And I say that because this informational meeting is one of our purposes, is to do just that. We want you to know what the law it. And second, we want you to know what facts we know as we struggle with the intersection of the facts that are -- that are really important in this -- in this case having to do with an upcoming election. And frankly having to deal with the past election as they might reflect on the upcoming election.

But -- but secondly, to -- to know that when we agreed to do this job, one of the things that we agreed to do, is that we were bound by the law of the State of Georgia. And I -- and I went back and looked at it. We just haven't done a very good job of explaining that. We haven't done a very good job at all about telling you factually

information that might help you understand what it is that we're dealing with.

Particularly how these machines work and we're not -- this is not an advocacy event.

We're -- we're not bringing in people to say, well, they work this way. No, we don't agree with that. This is just a description and it's the same description that the first thing that I did when I became Chair, is I said, I want to go and see these machines. And I want to look at them. I want to see what they're like and how do they operate. I don't want anybody to tell me about why they don't operate or do operate the way they're supposed to. I just want to understand the system. I want you to understand the system.

So that's what we're going to do today.

Remembering that the law has to be followed and the facts are important. And -- and I -- and what I learned fundamentally from my -- from my experience in Florida was this: That in order to find truth, requires objectivity and an open mind and a willingness to change your position one way or the other based upon what the law is and what the facts show.

And so I think the best organizations are one where people are open to information. And only after they get a full understanding of information do they reach a conclusion about what their belief is and what they want -- and what they ultimately want to advocate. And what facts are available to allow them to advocate.

So with that, I want to start with the law. Anybody who would like to can go and pull out Title 21. I would -- I would encourage all of you to read Title 21 having to do with elections. That's the Official Code of Georgia, Title 21 which is the title having to do with elections. And read it from the beginning to the end. Because that gives you the legal framework within which laws are conducted in our state as determined by the General Assembly.

And so what we're going to do this morning is take those portions of that, is -- you know some of that is pretty formulating. It has to do with having to file certain things that are unrelated to the actual conduct of election. But I've asked Matt

Mashburn who was the acting Chair before I 1 2 became Chair. But has served on this Board --3 how long? 4 MR. MASHBURN: I'm on two, three years. MR. DUFFEY: Okay. So as the longest 5 6 serving Board member, does election law work 7 and does a lot of work as a lawyer with having 8 to look at legal documents and I like to make 9 sure that they're done properly. So I have 10 asked him to just summarize for you. And in 11 some cases, the summary is almost verbatim 12 what comes out of the statute so that you can 13 understand the Board. And you can understand 14 the election process. So with that, I turn it 15 over to Matt. 16 MR. MASHBURN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 I'm going to move this monitor a little bit 18 this way so that court reporter can actually 19 see my -- me making the words. I think that's 20 helpful rather than me being behind a monitor. 21 So you can't see. 22 MR. DUFFEY: They can't hear you. Can 23 you pull the microphone closer, please? 24 MR. MASHBURN: I don't think -- there we 25 Okay. I tried to move it earlier. go.

```
not on. You might need to turn it on.
 1
 2
              MR. DUFFEY: Maybe -- well, how do I do
 3
         that?
 4
              MR. MASHBURN: There's a --
 5
              MR. DUFFEY: Are you number one?
 6
              MR. MASHBURN: Yeah.
 7
              MR. DUFFEY: You mean I have control over
 8
         everybody speaking?
 9
              MR. MASHBURN: Yeah. Power. Test, test,
10
         test, test, test, test.
              MR. DUFFEY: Is that better? Can you
11
12
         hear now? Okay.
13
              THE AUDIENCE: A lot better. Thank you.
14
              MR. DUFFEY: And in the back can
15
         everybody hear in the back?
              THE AUDIENCE: A little more. Not
16
17
         really. It's a little low.
18
              MR. MASHBURN: Test, test, test.
19
              THE AUDIENCE: (Inaudible.)
20
              MR. DUFFEY: Now try it.
21
              MR. MASHBURN: My voice probably picks up
22
         volume, as we go along. There we go. I'll
23
         back away now.
24
              MR. DUFFEY: So now I can -- now I know I
25
         can turn the monitor on.
```

1 MR. MASHBURN: You've got all kind of 2 power. I can also determine how --3 MR. DUFFEY: 4 whether they can be heard. Okay. MR. MASHBURN: Well, one of the things we 5 do is as -- as lawyers, we start with the law 6 7 and read the law first. And rather than read 8 all of Title 21 for everyone here today, I'm 9 going to summarize only the applicable 10 statutes and provisions. So we start with one 11 of the things that you find out as a young 12 lawyer with regard to the law, is that you 13 can't just understand the law by reading one 14 provision. Because many provisions lead you 15 to another provision that leads you to another 16 provision. So it leads you to another 17 provision. So we're going to do a little bit 18 of that today. It just -- so that everybody can have the same frame for it. 19 20 So we start with Title 21, we start in 21 Article 8A of the Georgia Election Code. And 22 it's entitled: Statewide voting equipment. 23 And it carries the sub-caption for 21-2-300, 24 provision of new voting equipment by state. 25 Uniform system for all elections to be

conducted with the use of scanning ballots marked by electric -- electronic ballot markers. Pilot programs authorize county responsibilities, education, county, and municipal contracts for equipment.

So within 21-2-300, we now proceed to 21-2-300(a)(2). And that provides that all federal, state, county, general primaries and general elections as well as special primaries and special elections in the State of Georgia shall be conducted with the use of scanning ballots marked by electronic ballot markers and tabulated by using a ballot scanner for voting at the polls and for absentee ballots cast in person unless otherwise authorized by law provided; however, that such electronic ballot marker shall produce paper ballots which are marked with the elector's choice in a format readable by the elector.

Thus 21-2-300(a)(2) has two requirements. The ballots must carry both the capability of being scanned and of being verified by the voter prior to it being scanned. And -- and also human readable in the event of a hand recount. So now we've introduced the

electronic ballot marker. Let's look at the definition of the electronic ballot marker.

O.C.G.A. 21-2-2 7.1, defines and electronic ballot marker as an electronic device that does not compute or retain votes, may integrate components such as -- such as a ballot scanner, printer, touchscreen monitor, audio output and a navigational keypad. And uses electronic technology to independently and privately mark a paper ballot at the direction of an elector. Interpret ballot selections, communicate such interpretation for elector verification. And print an elector verifiable paper ballot.

So now we move to -- we'll skip ahead to 21-2-381. And that provides: In any primary or election in which the use of voting equipment is impossible or impracticable for the reasons set out in Code section 21-2-334 the primary election may be conducted by paper ballot in the manner provided in Code section 21-2-334.

So now we have to turn to 21-2-334 and find out what the reasons are. And the reasons set out in 21-2-334 are if a method of

nomination or election for any candidate or office or a voting on any question as prescribed by law in which the use of voting machines is not possible or practicable or in such case at any primary or election the number of candidates seeking nomination or nominated for any office renders the use of voting machines for such office at such primary or election impracticable or if for any other reason at any primary or election the use of voting machines wholly or in part is not practicable.

So now we move ahead to 21-2-418(H), as in hotel. And that provides notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter to the contrary in the event that the voting machines or DRE units at a polling place malfunction and cannot be used to cast ballots or some other emergency situation exists which prevents the use of such equipment to cast votes, provisional ballots may be used by the electors at the polling place to cast their ballots. In such event, the ballots cast by electors whose names appear on the elector's list for such polling place shall not be

considered provisional ballots and shall not require verification as provided by Code section 21-2-419 provided; however, that persons whose names do not appear on the elector's list for such polling place shall vote provisional ballots which shall be subject to verification under Code section 21-2-419.

So now we're going to take a break from actually just reading statutes and move along in -- in the wake of the 2020 election, the General Assembly made public policy choices about the voting systems in use in Georgia. And did so in several ways. Two bills were filed in 2021 that would have variously required or allowed at the option of the County Election Superintendent the use of hand marked paper ballots rather than ballot marking devices. The bills were SB-233 and SB-273.

233 proposed to specifically delete ballot marking device and to change the method of casting votes to a non-electronic marking tool designed for electors to indelibly hand mark votes on paper ballots or paper ballot

card or cards that was assigned to a committee but did not advance to a hearing.

SB-273, the title of which was elections and primaries conduct elections by paper ballots in 2021. Authorize local governments proposed to specifically provide that in any primary or election conducted during 2021, the primary or election may be conducted by a county, municipality, or consolidated government, of the option of its election superintendent by paper ballot in the manner provided for in Code section 21-2-334. It was assigned to a committee but did not advance to a hearing.

In 2022, the Georgia General Assembly spoke directly about the application of the Board's emergency powers. And specifically in the context of the Board's exercise of emergency powers under the 2020 election. Unlike the United States Congress, and its congressional record that carries the proceedings of the Congress. And that a series called the U.S. Code Congressional and Administrative news that I lived in when I was an undergrad in law school.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition to special legislative findings that are sometimes made, the preamble to a bill is widely regarded as the definitive legislative history of laws enacted in Georgia. And the preamble to SB-202, the General Assembly wrote that some of its purposes in the bill were to limit the ability of the State Election Board and the Secretary of State to enter into certain consent agreements, settlements, and consent orders, to provide additional requirements on the State Election Board's power to adopt emergency rules and regulations. To provide for allocation of voting equipment by counties and municipalities. To amend Title 50 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated relating to general provisions regarding state government so as to provide for the submission and suspension of emergency rules by the State Election Board. The General Assembly specifically created a brand new Code section which was O.C.G.A. -- Official Code of Georgia Annotated 21-2-35. And that Code section carries the title, Imminent Peril Requirement for Adoption of

Emergency Rules or Regulations; Notice; 1 2 Certification of Strict Compliance; Conflicting Provisions. 3 4 And, specifically, in 21-2-35(a), the law provides notwithstanding any other provision 5 6 in this chapter, Chapter 3 of Title 38 7 relating to emergency management for Chapter 8 13 of Title 50, the Georgia Administrative Procedures Act to the contrary the State 9 10 Election Board may only adopt emergency rules 11 or regulations in circumstances of imminent 12 peril to public health, safety, or welfare. 13 To adopt any such emergency rule or regulation 14 in addition to any other rule-making 15 requirement in this chapter, or Chapter 13 of 16 Title 50, the State Election Board shall give notice to the public of its intended action. 17 Immediately upon the setting of the date and 18 time of the meeting at which such emergency 19 20 rule or regulation is to be considered give notice by email of its intended action to the 21 22 Governor; the Lieutenant Governor; the Speaker 23 of the House of Representatives; the chairpersons of the standing committees of 24 25 each house of the General Assembly tasked with election matters; legislative counsel and the chief executive officer of each political party registered pursuant to subsection (a) of Code Section 21-2-110.

And it must state in the notice as required by paragraphs (1) and (2) the nature of the emergency and the manner in which such emergency represents an imminent peril to public health, safety, or welfare. Upon adoption of promulgation of any emergency rule or regulation pursuant to this Code section, a majority of the State Election Board shall certify in writing that such emergency rule or regulation was made in strict and exact compliance with the provisions of this chapter and subsection (e) of Code Section 50-13-4.

And in the event of any conflict between the Code section and any other provision of Chapter 13 of Title 50, this Code section 21--- 21-2-35 shall govern and supersede any such conflicting provision.

So to close out the statutory portion we need to look at O.C.G.A. 50-13-4. And, specifically, 50-13-4(b). If any agency finds that an imminent peril to the public health,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

safety, or welfare, including but not limited to, summary processes such as quarantines, contrabands, seizures, and the like authorized by law without notice, requires adoption of a rule upon fewer than 30 days notice and states in writing its reasons for that finding, it may proceed without prior notice or hearing or upon any abbreviated notice and hearing that it finds practicable to adopt an emergency Any such rule adopted relative to a public health emergency shall be submitted as a promptly as reasonably practicable to the House of Representatives and Senate Committees on Judiciary provided that any such rule adopted relative to a state of emergency by the State Election Board shall be submitted as soon as practicable but not later than 20 days prior to the rule taking effect. emergency rule adopted by the State Election Board pursuant to the provisions of this subsection may be suspended upon the majority vote of the House of Representatives or Senate Committees on the Judiciary within 10 days of the receipt of such rule by the committees. The rule may be effective for a period of not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

longer than 120 days but the adoption of an identical rule under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a) of this Code section is not precluded; provided, however, that such a rule adopted pursuant to discharge of responsibility under an Executive Order declaring a state of emergency or disaster exists as a result of a public health emergency as defined in Code section 38-3-3 shall be effected -- effective for the duration of the emergency or disaster and for a period of not more than 120 days thereafter. So finally, case law also impacts our deliberations and our discussions. GA and Phillip Singleton versus State of Georgia, Judge Kimberly M. Esmond-Adams a judge on the Fulton County State Court considered whether electronic ballot marking devices complied with voting law requirements in O.C.G.A. 21-2-2(7.1) and O.C.G.A. 21-2-300(a)(2). In this case, the petitioners claim that the State's current electronic voting system does not comply with State law. They argued that the paper ballot generated by the Dominion ballot marking devices does not

comply with O.C.G.A. 21-2-2(7.1) and O.C.G.A. 21-2-300(a)(2), the two sections that were just described previously. Petitioners requested that the Court order the State switch to hand marked paper ballots counted by scanners.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The State of Georgia moved to dismiss the In dismissing the case on May 31st, 2022, the Court said, the fact that paper ballots include a QR code which the system uses to tabulate votes does not violate either statute's requirements that the paper ballots be produced, and that the interpretation of the elector's intent be produced in a readable fashion. These requirements are satisfied by the printed paper ballots produced by the ballot marking devices. The Court then decided the current devices comply with the statutes because they communicate the ballot scanner's interpretation of the elector's intent in a format readable by the elector through the printed paper ballot. There is no interpretation of the facts presented that would provide petitioner relief of their claim. The State Court of Fulton County is a

```
trial court. The decision of Judge Singleton
 1
 2
         -- the decision in Singleton of Judge Adams
 3
         was not appealed. Thank you.
 4
              MR. FAVORITO: It was appealed, Matt.
         That's not true.
 5
 6
              MR. MASHBURN: Well, we'll check on that.
 7
              MR. FAVORITO: Okay. I'll tell them
 8
         that.
 9
              MS. McGOWAN: It is pending appeal.
10
              MR. MASHBURN: Well, I stand corrected.
11
              MR. DUFFEY: So that's the --
12
              MR. FAVORITO: There's many other
13
         (inaudible) --
14
              MR. DUFFEY: -- excuse me. You have --
15
         we're going to the next part of the -- of the
16
         meeting which is after having described the
17
         statute that we comply with and have to comply
18
         with. I want now for you to understand not in
         a sense of advocacy but just understand how
19
20
         the Dominion democracy sweep machines work.
21
              So I want -- I want you to understand as
22
         it was explained to us early on in the year
23
         how exactly the machines work. Because for
24
         me, I told -- I told the people that were on
25
         the Board, I said, what I know about voting is
```

that I voted. But I will tell you that when I 1 2 go in, I don't really scrutinize what it is that's happening. I do what I'm instructed to 3 4 And I said, now I want to look at the system and how it operates as a -- as a whole. 5 6 And I wanted to know what security devices 7 that were on it because I -- because I knew 8 that there were people that -- that had criticized the security. And -- and I -- I 9 10 just wanted to know so I could reach my own 11 conclusion about the security in -- in the 12 machines and the system itself. So I want to 13 present to you, Mr. John Poulos who is the CEO 14 of Dominion voting to just explain to you how 15 the system -- how the machines work. And he 16 is --17 MS. BRADSHAW: Garland said that some of 18 the information he gave was -- was incorrect 19 and I think we need to get that settled before 20 we move on. 21 MR. DUFFEY: We're going to move on, but 22 I will have something. If I can't --Charlene, would you -- could you -- do you 23 have the appeal documents if there are any in 24 25 the case that Judge Adams decided? And do you

```
know the status of the appeal?
 1
 2
              MS. McGOWAN: It's been (inaudible) --
              MR. DUFFEY: Is -- has there been oral
 3
 4
         argument on it?
 5
              MS. McGOWAN: It has not (inaudible) --
              MR. DUFFEY: It has not been --
 6
 7
              MS. McGOWAN: (Inaudible) -- oral
         argument on it yet but could be.
 8
 9
              MR. DUFFEY: Okay. So it's --
10
              MR. FAVORITO: Mr. Chairman, I'm the lead
         (inaudible) on the case that Matt was talking
11
12
         about, and I would like the opportunity
         sometime today to clarify some of the false
13
14
         information that you just heard.
              MR. DUFFEY: We'll let you do that during
15
16
         public comments section.
17
              MR. FAVORITO: All right. I've already
18
         (inaudible) public comment. I'd like some
19
         extra time for that.
              MR. DUFFEY: We're now going to move to a
20
21
         description of the democracy sweep machines by
22
         Mr. Poulos who will -- who will be presenting
23
         to you virtually. Can we do that now?
24
              MR. MASHBURN: Mr. Chairman, if these
25
         screens don't work, I'm going to come down
```

```
1
         here and watch.
 2
              MR. POULOS: Good day. Could you -- can
 3
         you hear me?
 4
              MR. DUFFEY: Yes. Do we have him on
 5
         camera?
              MR. POULOS: I'm here, if you can hear
 6
 7
         me.
              MR. DUFFEY: We -- can you hear us, Mr.
 8
 9
         Poulos?
10
              MR. POULOS: I certainly can, yes.
              MR. DUFFEY: Okay. Wait. We're trying
11
12
         to get your picture -- there we have -- I
13
         quess we have it now.
14
              MR. POULOS: Can you -- can you hear me,
15
         Chairman?
16
              MR. DUFFEY: Yes.
17
              MR. POULOS: Wonderful. Well, thank you
18
         for having me. Do -- do you want me to start
19
         a description of what our system does?
20
              MR. DUFFEY: Yes, please.
21
              MR. POULOS: Okay. Great. Well,
22
         essentially, our system does one of two things
23
         in a precinct. And -- and I suppose the first
24
         comment that I will make is that we don't
25
         really do anything -- any -- any of the checks
```

or provisions of a verifying voter's eligibility that is done by poll workers. But once a voter enters into a precinct and is verified by poll workers as an eligible voter who hasn't yet voted in this election.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the State of Georgia, they are given access to a ballot marking device. And that -- that device is a stand-alone machine. not connected to the internet. It's not even connected to each other. It's a stand-alone device and essentially it is a screen connected to a printer. It allows a voter to go through the appropriate ballot so it keeps track to make sure that the ballot that a voter's view it -- viewing is from the appropriate district that they should be voting on. And it allows that voter to go through contest, by contest each of, yeah, viewing each contest and making selections along the way on -- on the ultimate way of producing a paper ballot that can be further reviewed. And I'll -- I'll talk about that in a minute.

So one of the advantages that the ballot marking device presents is that it allows the

voter to make selections in -- in a perfect manner. So there is no ambiguity on the paper ballot. It allows them to scroll through each contest all the way to the propositions and -- and also allows for accessibility features that ensure that every voter regardless of the level of physical ability that they may possess allows them to independently mark and create a paper ballot for which they are able to verify privately and independently that can be accurately counted and recounted and audited as the case may be.

So at the -- at the end of this stage and this is really, as I said, the first thing that Dominion machines really do, is we help voters mark paper ballots privately and independently. On this device, on the ballot marking device, votes are not stored. Votes are not cast. There is nothing at the end of this that is stored on the machine other than a paper ballot being presented to a voter.

Now, if a voter picks up that paper ballot and is able to verify their selections that -- and verify that the selections that are printed on that paper ballot are, in fact,

accurate. Are, in fact, the voter's selections. No more, no less. And once they verify that, which, Chairman, is the very important part of the process. Then they can walk it over to the ballot box. So as they leave the ballot marking station, they -- all that's left is a paper ballot that's now in the voter's hands. There's nothing stored or nothing cast. There's no record of any kind of votes stored on the ballot marking device.

So as that voter walks over to the ballot box, the official cast record, it is important to note. This is not a backup part of the system. This -- the -- the paper ballot is the official ballot that gets cast. That goes into a ballot box by way of a digital scanner. And so that's -- that's the other piece of what Dominion does.

We have a digital scanner that scans that paper ballot and saves an image in triplicate in -- on the -- on the memory devices of that scanner. And all that scanner does is it accurately and objectively tabulates the votes that are on that paper ballot. So without any use of technology, the paper ballot would go

into a locked, sealed ballot box. The chain of custody of that locked and sealed ballot box is under the control of the election officials in that jurisdiction. And is, obviously, a very essential part of the mandated election protocols that go into place.

But in addition to the paper ballot that can be hand audited. It can be hand recounted. You also have a record of -- of -- by way of digital image of what that voter verified and confirmed at the time of casting. So if you think through some of the legacy concerns about paper ballots and why many decades ago paper ballots fell out of favor for a period of time. There was allegations that during a hand count process or even during a recount process, somebody nefarious could have perhaps a piece of pencil lead under their fingernail and make a supplementary mark on that ballot at the time of a recount or a hand count.

And one of the great advantages of having a digital scanner in this process is not only does the official record remain that voter

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

verified paper ballot that can be hand counted and audited. But you would additionally have a scanned image of each ballot that has gone through the machine. So as the voting day continues, it is important to note that the day starts with an open ballot box where members of the public bi-partisan poll watchers, other stakeholders who wish to watch the election, can verify that the ballot box is, in fact, empty. And they can verify that the results tape that is printed -- we call it the status tape because it -- it shows a record of what is in that ballot box. And at the beginning -- beginning of the day the essential step in the process is to print the tape that shows the machine has no votes recorded on it for this election that is about to begin.

As the election day proceeds, ballots are cast by voters after they have had a chance to verify their paper ballots and choose to cast it. Once they are happy and sufficiently, they -- they -- they view that it is sufficiently voted, no more, no less. Then they cast it through the machine, digital copy

of the ballot is captured, as I mentioned.

And at the end of the day, a poll official
when voting closes, will close the poll. And
immediately at the end of the day when the
poll is closed, multiple copies of the results
tape will be printed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the reason -- so what's on the tape? The tape shows a complete accounting of how many ballots have been cast with the machine during the day. And it also shows the results of those ballots that have been cast in that -- in that ballot box. And that is just one piece of -- of the entire chain of custody that comes together during the canvass process. And the reason why we print multiple tapes in the State of Georgia is because tapes can be given to political parties that are present in the precinct. A copy can be given to poll watchers, bi-partisan poll watchers and a copy of that which I'll state can be publicly posted on the wall of that precinct. And it really allows for an additional step of transparency that members of the public. The bi-partisan poll watchers, the candidates themselves now know the instantaneous results

before that entire package of election 1 2 material gets securely transported back to the central location. 3 4 So I'll pause briefly there. And -- and happy to answer any questions as it pertains 5 to this -- these two processes. 6 7 MR. DUFFEY: If you have a question, if 8 you'll write it out, I'll ask it. Just bring 9 it up here and put it on the table. 10 Can -- can you explain whether the actual 11 machines have any security? 12 MR. POULOS: They absolutely do. So 13 federal certification guidelines, Chairman, 14 have a comprehensive list of requirements that 15 any vendor is required to meet. And these are 16 validated through the federal testing program. 17 Through independently tested and accredited 18 testing agencies as it pertains to our ballot tabulator, for example, there are physical 19 20 security mechanism that protect the memory cards that I referenced so lock and sealed. 21 22 It's the same type of lock and seal concept that -- that -- that protect the 23 actual paper ballots in the physical ballot 24 25 box. So not only do you have a physical lock,

but then you have a seal that can be verified very easily. They're highly visible so they can be verified by any poll watcher or bipartisan stakeholder in the election even from a distance.

Beyond that the actual memory cards are both digitally signed and encrypted as per federal requirements. And -- and that -- that is only as it pertains to the security of -- of the -- of the system sitting on the ballot box. Above and beyond that, jurisdictions have their local chain of custody and a host of physical security processes in public testing that layer on security on top of the actual machine's security.

MR. DUFFEY: And I -- I know that at some point for an election somebody has to put the ballot on to ballot marking devices for that particular precinct. How is that done and is it possible that somebody could put something else that would corrupt the ballot marking device after it's loaded with the ballots?

MR. POULOS: Sure. The -- the specific process is really best answered by -- by the State and basically the election officials

that are accountable for defining that process. But, essentially, the way to think about it is once an election is programmed there -- that the -- the -- the election specific nature, so candidates, the types of contest, you know, is it -- is it, basically, the specifics that change from election to election are loaded on to the ballot marking devices.

They -- they are loaded one by one into the ballot -- into the ballot marking devices so it's not by way of internet. And it's not done by network. They are done by physical cards and that process -- and the -- and the mandated protocols of physical security and checks are an essential part of this. And as I said, that is done by those who have accountability for that which is the election officials in that jurisdiction.

So there -- there are many protocols around from the federal testing standpoint that protect that process including password, including specific election security identification that is not given out. But the process doesn't end there. It's designed to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

allow transparency not only for candidates but by bi-partisan poll watchers. But frankly any election stakeholder by way of public testing. So once these devices are loaded, there is a host of public testing that occurs on them to ensure that nothing nefarious has happened and just as importantly nothing has happened by way of a spelling mistake that is propagated through the -- through the checking of -- of election programming. And it gives not only the local county election jurisdiction a chance to but also any watchers the chance that the ballot marking devices presenting the choices accurately to the voters and -- and ultimately the -- the paper that is printed that allows them to review their -- their -their selections are accurate. MR. DUFFEY: I'd like for you to go back. You keep talking about public testing. But you never told us what that is. What is -what is -- what do you mean by public testing? MR. POULOS: All right. So that is a process that is controlled by the election jurisdictions themselves. MR. DUFFEY: I want --

MR. POULOS: And, essentially --1 2 MR. DUFFEY: -- excuse me. I understand I want you to tell us what that is. 3 4 MR. POULOS: Sure. So once the election is loaded on to each of the machines, it, you 5 6 know, immediately preceding an election. 7 public is invited in. The entire system is 8 set up. So every single unit on every single 9 -- every single tabulator, for example, is set 10 up and this -- this is the part where it varies jurisdiction by jurisdiction, but there 11 12 is -- the goal of the testing is to create a known test deck of -- of ballots. So as -- as 13 14 a participant in -- in the testing, Chairman, 15 if you were there, you would be asked to make -- make your selections. You would then be 16 asked to verify that the paper ballot is 17 accurate, and we would do this over and over 18 and over, or more accurately, the county would 19 20 do this and feed those paper ballots through the tabulator. And so there should be an 21 22 expected result based on how you voted. And then once the polls are closed, in this public 23 test, the print tape is printed and you can 24 25 verify your expected result to -- the result

that it's printed on the print tapes and it 1 2 often -- what is often the case, they'll do a hand count of the paper ballots in the ballot 3 4 box just to make sure that the expected result is the same as the hand recount which is the 5 6 same as the result tape on the tabulator. 7 And this is a process that --8 MR. DUFFEY: When you say expected 9 result, when you put them through the scanner, 10 and you have somebody like me if I put my 11 ballot through the scanner, could you see 12 whether -- what my specific votes were to make 13 sure that they were accurately recorded? 14 MR. POULOS: Yes, you can. So, you know, 15 in a simple scenario, if we had a ballot -- if 16 we had a ballot with one contest and four 17 candidates. A sample test that might be candidate 1, on position 1, we might want four 18 ballots that vote for this candidate 1. 19 20 next candidate we might want three ballots that vote for candidate 2. Two for candidate 21 22 3 and one for candidate 4. So they're all unique. We would probably want to complete 23 blank ballot, as well, just to be sure and --24 25 and then we would feed all those five ballots

through the ballot box. So we should be 1 2 expecting a result of four, three, two, one on that contest. And we'll keep it simple, and 3 4 we'll say it's a one contest election. And we want to verify that the results tape exactly 5 6 tells you four, three, two, one. And then 7 we'll check in the ballot box to make sure 8 that those ballots are, in fact, four, three, 9 two, one. 10 MR. DUFFEY: And for how many ballot marking devices do you do that sort of 11 12 testing? 13 MR. POULOS: Well, they should be done on 14 all of them. So and at -- at -- at the bare 15 minimum every tabulator needs to be tested in 16 this way in the public to verify that the 17 count is, in fact, accurate. 18 MR. DUFFEY: And when you say in the public, how do you know -- I'm probably not 19 20 going to go down and watch the testing. I mean, how do you know that it's really public 21 22 as opposed to just being people that work in 23 the -- in the precinct? 24 MR. POULOS: It's a great question. 25 a key part of the process. It's a key part of

the transparency and so that is -- that is 1 2 certainly a question that needs to be asked in every election, every jurisdiction and 3 4 encouraged -- the process needs to encouraged because that is a key part of the transparency 5 that shows members of the public not only is -6 7 - are the machines accurate. But also 8 educates those on how the process actually 9 works. 10 MR. DUFFEY: Do any of the Board members 11 have questions before I get to the attendees' 12 questions? 13 MR. LINDSEY: I'll have one at the end 14 after the other questions. 15 MR. DUFFEY: Okay. 16 DR. JOHNSTON: Thank you so much for 17 being here today and presenting this 18 information. I have a question. Do the 19 ballots in the test deck have QR codes on 20 them? 21 MR. POULOS: In the State of Georgia, 22 currently our certified system does feature QR codes. 23 24 DR. JOHNSTON: On the test deck? 25 MR. POULOS: And that because they're on

the ballots, the test deck is a sample of the 1 2 real ballots in the election. So, yes, both the test deck would have that. 3 4 DR. JOHNSTON: Thank you. And another question. How -- how can you demonstrate to 5 6 the public that the Dominion software reads 7 the QR code accurately? This could be done a 8 MR. POULOS: Sure. 9 number of ways. The simplest and way it could 10 be done is through a risk-limiting audit. any -- any -- any type of hand verification of 11 12 the paper ballots in the machine. DR. JOHNSTON: So a risk-limiting audit 13 14 will verify an accurate QR code? 15 MR. POULOS: It sure would. Because the 16 ballots -- ultimately one thing that should be 17 kept in mind is the official cast record is 18 not the QR code. It never is. The official cast record -- the official part of that 19 20 ballot which accurately -- which shows the 21 voter's intent is the text and at the end of 22 the day that is the official cast record. 23 the -- the accuracy of the tabulator is 24 actually the easiest thing in the world to 25 double check. And the way to do that is you -

- you -- you can either look at all machines. 1 2 You can look at one machine. You can look at So a risk-limiting audit is --3 a subsec. 4 refers to a certain process by which a certain percentage of tabulators or ballot boxes are 5 chosen at random. And each ballot box that is 6 7 chosen, regardless of what that number is, 8 from more than zero to a 100 percent of the ballot boxes, you pull up the paper ballots, 9 10 the voter verified paper ballots which is always the official part of the election. 11 12 Those are the official results. Those are 13 what can't be hacked, and you hand count them 14 to verify the count on the tabulator. 15 MR. DUFFEY: Any other questions from the 16 Board? 17 MS. GHAZAL: I have a question. 18 you. Thank you so much for -- for being here and answering our questions. I have a very 19 20 simple one. Can you explain whether or not 21 the security features and passwords are 22 changed from election to election or is it 23 carried through? 24 MR. POULOS: They should be changed 25 election to election. That's ultimately

```
1
         something that are -- is done by election
 2
         jurisdictions.
 3
              MS. GHAZAL: Thank you.
 4
              MR. DUFFEY: Any other questions from
         Board members?
 5
 6
              MR. LINDSEY: I'll have one at the end,
 7
         Mr. Chairman.
 8
              MR. DUFFEY: Some of these questions are
 9
         redundant so I'm not going to ask the
10
         redundant questions we have an explanation of
11
12
              MR. POULOS: I'm -- I'm sorry, Chairman,
13
         I can't hear what you're saying. I'm not sure
14
         if that was directed at me, but I -- I could
15
         barely make it out.
16
              MR. DUFFEY: That's because my mic wasn't
17
         on. Now it is.
18
              MR. POULOS: Okay.
              MR. DUFFEY: All right. So I'm going
19
20
         through the questions that have been submitted
         to me are -- I'm taking out those questions
21
22
         which I believe have already been answered and
23
         there are some that are redundant. So here's
24
         -- here's one: Does the QR code assign a
25
         unique number to each ballot?
```

1 MR. POULOS: No. Absolutely not. 2 MR. DUFFEY: Here are two questions I'm 3 going to ask it in a more general way that --4 what is -- what is the function of Dominion techs? What do they do on election day? What 5 6 is their purpose? 7 MR. POULOS: Their purpose -- their 8 primary purpose is to be available to ask any 9 questions. And -- and provide help to 10 election -- election officials who have any --11 any kind of need for troubleshooting. 12 really could be a number of things. A very 13 common one, believe it or not, is, you know, 14 the power -- the power seems to be on battery 15 power even though the unit it plugged in. 16 a Dominion tech might come. They're --17 they're instructed never to perform in any 18 official duty that is the accountability of the poll official. But you'd be surprised at 19 20 how often this one happened to where it's 21 plugged into an outlet in a precinct that is 22 not live and, you know, that -- that type of 23 thing is where a Dominion tech is sometimes 24 helpful for jurisdictions. MR. DUFFEY: Do your machines use blue 25

tooth or other technology that would allow it 1 2 to be accessed by somebody using the internet? 3 MR. POULOS: No. They are specifically 4 designed as per federal statute or federal certification standards to not have that 5 capability and that is what they are tested 6 7 against. 8 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you. And do your machines have any blue tooth, wi-fi chip sets? 9 10 MR. POULOS: No. To the extent that any, 11 like, our tabulator, for example, absolutely 12 does not and to the extent that any other 13 devices that we would have. So, for example, 14 if we supplied a Windows PC for a central 15 location, that -- that PC is an off the shelf 16 product. And -- and they change all the time 17 so typically we use Dell computers and the 18 Dell models keep changing as whatever Dell brings up new versions and so there is a 19 20 specific hardening procedure that is approved 21 by the certification bodies where any 22 functionality that might have been on a -- a 23 PC that perhaps does some ballot rendering at a central location, that that functionality is 24 25 disabled in the appropriate way.

MR. DUFFEY: When the audiences can 1 2 control themselves, I'll proceed. Or -- or if 3 you can't, you might want to step out. 4 There's a question asking him to interpret Georgia statutes. I'm not going to 5 6 -- I'm not going to ask him to do that because 7 he -- that's not why he's here. This question 8 I don't understand. It's -- it has one 9 incomplete sentence. I'll ask, is there any 10 circumstance where a -- a scanner has to be 11 reset? And how do you do that? 12 MR. POULOS: I'm sorry, Chairman. 13 -- the tabulator? The digital scanner that 14 sits at the top of the ballot box? 15 MR. BALBONA: Yeah. 16 MR. DUFFEY: The scanner that sits on top 17 of the ballot box? 18 MR. POULOS: If it needs to be reset? MR. DUFFEY: Yeah. Or start -- there are 19 20 circum -- what might have scanners had to be 21 reset and if so, how's that done? 22 MR. BALBONA: For the count. 23 MR. POULOS: I can't think of -- I can't 24 think of anything sitting here right now why it would need to be reset. I mean, sometimes 25

I've seen ballot jams where a ballot is 1 2 inserted for whatever reason the ballot has a torn -- a torn corner to it. Or there's --3 4 but you typically don't see that in precinct voting because the ballot -- it -- it doesn't 5 travel very far. It goes from the marking 6 7 area. The voter takes and looks at it. So 8 really, I can't think of anywhere -- any time where it would have to be reset. 9 10 MR. BALBONA: But can it. MR. DUFFEY: Well, if -- if there is an 11 12 instance say, for example, where there's a turned up corner and it jams --13 14 MR. POULOS: Yes. 15 MR. DUFFEY: How do you -- what is the assurance that everything was counted after 16 17 that including that jammed ballot is tabulated and included in the count? 18 MR. POULOS: Oh, okay. So if the ballot 19 20 has not been read -- is not able to go through the scanner as -- as you say, it does not go 21 22 in and fall -- and cast into the ballot box. The -- the -- the tabulator -- ballot 23 tabulator will prompt the poll official with 24 25 an instruction to clear that -- that ballot

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And if the ballot is torn in a way that makes it unscannable, the poll official will direct that voter to create another paper ballot. They have supplementary counting procedures to make sure that that torn ballot is properly accounted for that outside of the Dominion system. Because the Dominion tabulator that sits atop of the ballot box only counts the number of ballots that poll officials allow voters to feed in. So in the course of a day, if it's 100 ballots, the -the tabulator has no idea who the voters are. The tabulator has no idea how they've been verified. But all -- the only thing it's accountable for is to make sure that the ballots that have gone through that tabulator have been accurately counted for and not only in number of separate ballots, but also the interpretation of every -- of every vote on every contest. And that's -- that's the part that is verified independently through the use of hand count audits and hand recounts. MR. BALBONA: I can give you a hypothetical scenario if you want.

pretty nuanced.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DUFFEY: This is a question about training of local officials. But he is not here to talk about how local officials were trained so I'm not going to ask that. This is a question about something that happened at a local precinct, so I won't ask that. I mean, this is another question having to do with connection of machines to the internet. Can you go, I guess, could you go over again looking at your whole system. And the ballot marking devices and the scanners. anything, else that is -- that is associated I have, in addition to that, with your system as opposed to some -- some supplemental process at the local election office. Is -- which devices have any ability to access the internet through blue tooth, wi-fi or any other technology.

MR. POULOS: Sure. Well, our ballot marking devices do not have that capability.

And -- and that's what they're tested for.

Not only by the jurisdiction, but by certification agencies. But -- but, again, a ballot marking device is a screen and a

printer. It doesn't store votes. It doesn't in any way. It has nothing to do with the tabulation process. It's a -- it provides a voter with a paper ballot to be verified.

On the scanner you have -- you -- you

have a -- a scanner that is designed by

federal requirements to operate in a -- in a 
in a -- in a air-gapped manner without

connectivity. So at no point during -- after

voting is there any capability of that device

being connected to anything. It is -- it is a

complete stand-alone system.

Now, the -- the tabulator is configured in some jurisdictions by law and Georgia is not one of those to be able to attach an external device to transmit unofficial results after the polls close. This is a process that requires breaking a physical seal and connecting something that's quite large. That -- that is -- that dongles out of that tabulator that is easily viewed. It's designed to be easily viewed by any poll watcher in that precinct. Be the -- be it a poll worker, a bi-partisan poll watcher or just a member of the public. And, again, the

most important point here is that Georgia is not one of these jurisdictions. So there -there are absolutely no ways of connecting
that we -- we haven't sold this device in
Georgia. Georgia doesn't have this device.
And so in Georgia that locked port that is
both locked and sealed never gets opened.

I will also add Chairman, that in other jurisdictions and these are typically jurisdictions that for geographical reasons takes several hours to physically transport everything. And they're looking to be transparent by -- by offering unofficial results. But I will say is that even in those limited jurisdictions, which is just a few percent, I think now, it's rapidly declining in my view. It's going down to zero. But the physical device does not connect through the internet. It's through a private cellular network. And those results that are transmitted are only unofficial. They are never part of the official results record.

MR. DUFFEY: This question is about something happened in another jurisdiction and what caused it. He's not here to talk about

1 that. And I'm not going to ask that question. 2 Is there any -- does your system work in 3 a way that a counter can erase a scanned 4 ballot? MR. POULOS: I -- I don't understand. 5 Erase -- erase a ballot that is in the box or 6 7 erase an image that's on one of the memory 8 cards? 9 MR. BALBONA: On the counter on the -- on 10 the scanner. The ballot scanner, the counter, 11 it's kind of like the mileage is there any 12 scenario where that number is adjusted? 13 MR. POULOS: I'm sorry, Chairman. 14 couldn't hear his question. 15 MR. DUFFEY: In your -- that -- that is 16 not the question that you asked on this piece 17 of paper. This is not a open forum for people 18 to ask. If you want to ask a question, I will ask the question and determine whether or not 19 20 it's appropriate. 21 We -- we asked for him to address certain 22 things and I think it's unfair for the audience to expand this to ask questions that 23 24 are not related to the way these machines 25 work. And I think he's been generous and fair

in addressing the questions. And I've been 1 2 fairly liberal in asking him things that I 3 thought you wanted to know. 4 MR. BALBONA: It was just a clarification of that question. 5 6 MR. DUFFEY: Pardon me. 7 MR. BALBONA: It was just a clarification 8 of that exact same question. MR. DUFFEY: Well, I would disagree with 9 10 that. What's the purpose of air-gap 11 connectivity? And is the air-gap --12 MR. POULOS: Air-gap means no connectivity. 13 14 MR. DUFFEY: What -- tell me what air-gap 15 -- tell me what air-gap is. 16 MR. POULOS: Air-gap means if -- if, 17 Chairman, if you're holding a device that set 18 to be air-gaped, I can see that device from the other side of the room. I know it's not 19 20 connected to any other device because I can 21 see that there's no -- there's no wires. I 22 can actually see that with my own eyes. And -23 - and -- and there's no connectivity through 24 any means of electromagnetic communication 25 such as wi-fi; such as blue tooth -- such as

some of these technology that you asked me earlier.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DUFFEY: This is a question about his response to a report that is in litigation in the Curland case. Some of which has not been disclosed by the court so I'm not going to ask that question. And he was not here to respond to Mr. Halderman report.

Any further questions from the Board? MR. LINDSEY: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If I may. I think you need to turn me on. While I do not want you to -- to discuss anything that's particularly regarding the Curland report and -- and regarding the Curland litigation. Nor I want you to and I want to make sure you understand where I'm going here. Nor do I want you to reveal anything that is presently under seal. Please make sure that you understand that. However, I do think it's important for the -- for the Board and for the general public to know that there was a -- a analysis done by an independent cyber security company called MITA that was submitted to the court in response to the Halderman report. Were you aware of that?

MR. POULOS: Yes, I am. 1 2 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. And you're aware that presently that Mitre report is under 3 4 seal. But there have been requests that it be unsealed so that the general public can see 5 6 the analysis that took place by the Mitre 7 folks in response to the Halderman 8 allegations, correct? 9 MR. POULOS: I believe that report is 10 under seal, correct. I think that was your 11 question. 12 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. It is under seal. And -- and Dominion has asked for that to be 13 14 made public, correct? 15 MR. DUFFEY: Well, that's -- that's a 16 question --17 MR. LINDSEY: I mean, it is -- well, let 18 me put it this way: From a review of the 19 records, it appears that Dominion has 20 requested that report. And be made public and -- and the also that the State Election Board 21 22 has made that request to be made public. I 23 just wanted to know if you were aware of that 24 fact. 25 MR. POULOS: Yes, I'm aware of that.

```
MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. Okay. I'll discuss
 1
 2
         that further later, Mr. Chairman. Okay. Oh,
         one other question. Mr. Chairman, if I may.
 3
 4
              You're -- are you aware that the United
         States Election Assistance Commission?
 5
 6
              MR. POULOS: Yes, I am.
              MR. LINDSEY: You -- you are, you are.
 7
 8
         And -- and has your Dominion system been
         tested and reviewed by that commission that's
 9
10
         being used to (inaudible).
              MR. POULOS: Yes. Yes, it has.
11
12
              MR. LINDSEY: And has it been approved by
         the -- by this commission?
13
14
              MR. POULOS: Yes, it has.
15
              MR. LINDSEY: Could you just briefly tell
16
         the public and the Board generally what the US
17
         Election Assistance Commission is?
18
              MR. POULOS: It is a bi-partisan
         commission that was created in -- in the years
19
20
         following the 2000 general -- general
21
         election. And it sought to create a list of
22
         definitive standards by which any voting
23
         system should adhere to. And the current
24
         certification guidelines I believe are
25
         thousands of pages long. That they tell you
```

exactly how a system should work. The types of security mechanisms that must be part of that system. And any company that wishes to market an election system in the -- in the United States submits their system for that testing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That testing is done independently by any entity that -- that has applied and attained its own certification and accreditation by that same agency. And so really what that process does it -- it demonstrates that a testing lab is independent. The testing lab understands election protocols. And is able to independently test and verify compliance to EAC, the Election Assistance Commission standards. So typically, that process can take -- it's -- it's not uncommon for it to take years and it is very thorough. involves any manufacturer, such as Dominion, to submit its entirety of the source code for a line-by-line code review. The entirety of its hardware platform for review. A list of manufacturing partners on the supply chain for any and all hardware parts. And ultimately at the end if certified that certified version

which is made up of the entirety of all of the 1 2 hardware pieces that have been submitted and reviewed by that agency and its independent 3 test labs. And the source code is deemed the 4 certified version. So at any point in the 5 6 future if a further change is required in any 7 way, be it hardware, or even one line of 8 source code, the entire package goes back to the Election Assistance Commission for another 9 10 review and if deemed appropriate and compliant 11 following certification. 12 MR. LINDSEY: And once again, has the 13 Dominion system utilized here in Georgia been 14 certified and approved by the commission? 15 MR. POULOS: Absolutely, yes. 16 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you. 17 MS. PRETTYMAN: Did you -- are you able 18 to ask the question on the archived data? MR. DUFFEY: And your name -- tell me 19 20 your name. 21 MS. PRETTYMAN: Amanda Prettyman. Sorry, 22 my handwriting is messy. 23 MR. DUFFEY: But there are two questions. 24 Nothing says --25 MS. PRETTYMAN: Primarily the archived

one -- archived data. 1 2 MR. DUFFEY: These questions both have to 3 do with what Dominion testing and --4 MS. PRETTYMAN: But there's no (inaudible). 5 6 MR. DUFFEY: In your system, Mr. Poulos, 7 what is it within the system that archives 8 data? If there is more than one place --MR. POULOS: I'm -- I'm sorry, Chairman. 9 10 I'm having difficulty hearing that question. 11 Can you repeat that? Maybe it's the mic 12 problem again? 13 MR. DUFFEY: You're catching on. In your 14 system, in what places is data archived? 15 MR. POULOS: Great question. So I'll --I'll start with the -- the ballot box which is 16 17 obviously a critical piece of it. So the 18 voter verified paper ballots is where -- the most important part of the election. And that 19 20 is under locked and sealed -- physically locked and sealed ballot box. In addition to 21 22 that, we now have images of every ballot and 23 scanned image as it went through the 24 tabulator. Immediately following that 25 independent voter verified review of their

paper ballot. That is done in triplicate form. So on the tabulator we have three pieces of -- we have three discreet pieces of electronic memory that hold that information for every ballot cast in that ballot box on election day.

At the close of that election, so if you bear with me, I'm working from immediate close of election. Back to reporting official results. On that tabulator as I said we have three discreet pieces of memory. One that is — so that they are separately locked and sealed in full view of the public. And on as far as how they're stored digitally on the electronic media, they are both signed — digitally signed and digitally encrypted per Election Assistance Commission's standards the so called BBSG standards.

At the close of election, we print multiple copies of the results tape. So now we at this -- at this immediate point maybe a minute following all polls closed, probably less. We have the physical ballots in the ballot box. We have three discreet copies all digitally signed and encrypted that -- that

have to -- that each set of results. So an independent copy of each of the ballots. And now we have multiple print -- print tapes that show the accumulated results of what's in the ballot box. So if there's a 100 ballots, it'll say there's a 100 ballots cast in the ballot box that can be independently verified. And then the results by candidate by contest for the entirety of the election that is sitting in that ballot box.

It's typical that you might have four or five copies of that. So one publicly posted

It's typical that you might have four or five copies of that. So one publicly posted. One given to parties perhaps another if there's -- if there's a bi-partisan poll watcher that happens to request a copy. And then a copy goes back with the ballot box. With the tabulator and with the ballot box that has the locked and sealed ballots.

When that; however, some jurisdictions do it differently, but ultimately one of the memory cards that sits in that tabulator is removed. So in -- in a -- in a -- in an open and transparent process, in front of poll watchers, the seal is physically cut and unlocked and that -- that memory card is

removed and then read into a central computer. 1 2 And while it forms the basis of -- of results becoming official, through a very exhaustive 3 4 process called canvas. It is just one part of it. So if anyone even has the allegation that 5 6 the -- from the time that memory card is 7 removed from the digital scanner and put into 8 the computer. Or if there's an allegation 9 that the computer somehow is -- has been 10 corrupted, the chain of custody has been breached, you always can -- so not only do you 11 12 have that version that sits there. And even 13 the allegation is -- is -- is a case 14 worthy to discuss here because we still have 15 the other memory card that is still locked and 16 is still sealed and remains in the secure chain of custody of that jurisdiction. And up 17 to at least a minimum of -- at least 24 18 months. And sometimes more if there's any 19 20 kind of dispute. And above and beyond that, we have the physical print tapes that -- as a 21 22 -- as a matter of protocol in the canvass process is they are compared. 23 And ultimately the last and, again, the 24 25 most important part are the -- the actual

```
paper ballot that have been voter verified and
 1
 2
         locked and sealed in -- in the secure chain of
         custody of that election official. So by my
 3
 4
         count you have at least one being the paper
         ballots. You have two and three are the
 5
 6
         removable memory cards. The fourth one would
 7
         be the other memory card that is -- that is
 8
         yet another -- provides another copy of the
         tabulator and five would be the -- the print
 9
10
         tape, which is actually five a, b, c, d, e
11
         because you have multiple copies that have
12
         been printed immediately following the poll
         close. And then distributed to candidates.
13
14
         To poll watchers and publicly posted.
15
              MR. DUFFEY: All right. Thank you.
16
              MS. PRETTYMAN: Can you ask him to verify
         if -- if it's not safe for the compact flash
17
18
         drive --
19
              MR. DUFFEY: Excuse me -- are you a
20
         lawyer?
21
              MS. PRETTYMAN:
                               No.
                                    I'm not.
22
              MR. DUFFEY: Are you a litigant in a
23
         case?
24
              MS. PRETTYMAN:
                                    I'm a citizen.
                               No.
25
         it's an issue in my county and that's what
```

```
we're trying to figure out because Dominion
 1
 2
         told us this. So I -- so I appreciate
 3
         (inaudible). I'm just trying to determine --
 4
         because I didn't quite catch the heart of the
         matter which is -- the records that are
 5
 6
         missing because they weren't safe from the
         compact flash drive, we were told by Dominion
 7
 8
         that they're archived --
              MR. DUFFEY: Well, that's -- that's --
 9
              MS. PRETTYMAN: -- irretrievable.
10
              MR. DUFFEY: Because that's -- because
11
12
         that's specific to you, this presentation is
13
         not for specific questions about specific
         election offices. It's generally his
14
15
         description of the process and I think that he
         has -- he has --
16
17
              MS. PRETTYMAN: It could be other places,
18
         like I said, if we're wondering if --
              MR. DUFFEY: Well, what could be and is,
19
20
         you know, if you want to, you can send me in
         this dedicated email, send your question.
21
22
              MS. PRETTYMAN:
                               Okay.
23
              MR DUFFEY: And I'll send it to them to
         see if he and, I mean, because they are
24
25
         involved in a lot of litigation too. I'll see
```

```
if they're able to answer that. I think
 1
 2
         that's the best way to handle that. Okay.
              UNKNOWN SPEAKER: But, we're interested
 3
 4
         too.
              MR. DUFFEY: Well, I know there are a lot
 5
 6
         of interesting things that you're interested
 7
         in, but the purpose of this meeting is
         informational. It's not about what's
 8
         happening in specific election offices or
 9
10
         specific counties or specific precincts.
         purpose of this is to give you and I think
11
12
         he's actually been generous and going beyond
13
         what the explanation is of the system. And
14
         every question I've gotten that relates to the
15
         system, I've asked. But this --
16
              MS. BRADSHAW: I did ask about the poll
17
         pads being count -- they're -- they were --
18
         there was poll pads that was wrong, had the
19
         wrong precinct on it.
20
              MR. DUFFEY: Yeah. One particular
21
         precinct, correct?
22
              MS. PRETTYMAN:
                              Yeah. But in general --
              MR. DUFFEY: Because he has -- he doesn't
23
24
         -- his system doesn't include poll pads.
25
              MS. PRETTYMAN:
                              Pardon?
```

1 MR. DUFFEY: Does the Dominion system is 2 a poll pad part of that system? MS. PRETTYMAN: Yes. 3 That's -- that's 4 how you -- the person comes in. They give you their driver's license. And it -- it checks 5 6 if they're a registered voter. And all that 7 works together. 8 MR, DUFFEY: Okay. We're going to take a 10-minute break and we'll be back at quarter 9 10 till. MR. POULOS: Thank you very much, 11 12 Chairman. I appreciate the opportunity. 13 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you, Mr. Poulos. 14 Appreciate you being here and thank you for 15 your answers. 16 MR. POULOS: Have a great day. Bye. 17 MR. DUFFEY: You too. Thank you. 18 (Break taken.) MR. DUFFEY: Can everybody please take 19 20 their seats. Sorry, would everyone please 21 take their seats. Seats are those pieces of 22 furniture that are in front of the desks. 23 The next is to give you a brief update on 24 the Coffee County investigation. This is a 25 little hard to do because there are really two

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issues. So it might sound as if things are not being presented in chronological order. They're not exactly in chronological order because -- but I will tell you when something that comes up that's not in chronological order, why it's not in chronological order because what happened and when it was discovered are two different tracks. So I'll do my best on doing that.

As you -- and you inevitably know there is a ongoing criminal investigation and while I have -- I know who the investigators are, the GBI, and -- and the Secretary of State's Office investigators who are assigned to us to investigate and have great confidence in them. It is still a criminal investigation. was a United States attorney it was our policy that whenever anybody asked about where we were in the investigative process, you don't disclose that. For one principle reason is that investigations have to be conducted according to the investigative approach that the people that are actually responsible for the investigation want to take. And there are lots of reasons why they follow the path that

they follow in an investigation, and if we were give you an update as far as who's being interviewed. When they're being interviewed. What are the topics. They would -- they would lose the -- what the design of their investigative strategy which is to find out what happened and who is responsible for it.

So almost everything that I'm going to tell you although hopefully it'll be somewhat more of a coherent fashion than the reporting that there has been on it. But when things went -- at one time were not known the fact that they are now known and have been publicly disclosed and there -- and there's been very little objection by anybody or correction as to what happened. I -- I think you'll find -- what I hope is a more coherent presentation to give you an idea of what happened, when -- when people knew about it and -- and who was involved in a -- in a broad sense.

To the extent that there might be information that I've learned, and if I can't, you know, somebody might say, well, who's that. And if I can't determine that, I'm not going to say. Because I don't -- I'm not

going to express to you anything where I am not confident that the information is known and verifiable.

I will say that what has been reported by the national and local press, aligns with what I learned out -- outside the criminal investigation. And I think the conduct is -- is fairly clear and that you'll see where Coffee County fits in a broader picture.

The conduct in Coffee County is similar to the conduct in Atrium County, Michigan and Clark County, Nevada. It's not entirely clear, there's been a fair amount of reporting on who was responsible for, you know, the orchestration of the conduct. But I think it is unclear as to who had specific responsibilities within the organization and - and for our purposes what happened is what's relevant. And not who was behind it. Because what I want you to know is what happened in Coffee County. So the focus of this review is going to be not of Michigan or Nevada. It will be on Coffee County, Georgia.

So the facts show that in March of 2021 a phone call was disclosed in federal court

litigation was that a person by the name of Scott Hall stated that he had arranged for a plane to travel to Coffee County. To image hard drive information from voting equipment in Coffee County. In the call, Mr. Hall claimed that he received permission from officials in Coffee County to do so. And that Coffee County officials allowed people to enter the office to obtain hard information on hard drives.

The Secretary of State's Office became aware of Mr. Hall's telephone remarks in February 2022. So although that was available, it was not known to the Secretary of State's Office until February of 2022. And the Secretary of State's Office reached a conclusion a couple of months later that based upon Mr. Hall's telephone conversation which was had a lot of other information that he was passing along in this call, only a part of which dealt with this trip to Coffee County and based upon what was known at that time, the Secretary of State's Office concluded in April of 2022 that there had not been a breach of any equipment. Okay. So I just talked

about what somebody learned in February 2022.

Now, let me go back to 2021. In June of 2021, which, of course, was after the 2020 election, when the new Coffee County Elections Director became responsible for the Coffee County Elections Office. Because the prior director whose name is Mr. Campton was no longer working there. And the circumstances of that are unclear to me about why that happened. There's been some reporting on it but I don't have any independent information about that.

But anyway, when this new elections director came in, they were unable to access a computer server because of password had been changed. They tried to -- with the -- with the help of one Dominion employee they -- they tried to bypass the new password to get access to the -- to that server but couldn't. So a decision was made that the Secretary of State's Office would take possession of the server. Bring it back to Atlanta. Maintain it in Atlanta and to replace the server that was taken to Atlanta with a new server. Again, that's in June 2021. In August of

2022, so now we're back into the current year, information became publicly available showing that on January 7th of 2021 a -- an Atlanta firm that does forensic work and consulting by the name of Sullivan|Strickler entered into a retainer agreement to perform work to image information on various types of devices in Coffee County's election office.

Further, in -- in recent months there has been video footage that -- that shows that Coffee -- Coffee County permitted people to enter the elections office and those same videos, and some still pictures show that Sullivan|Strickler employees were in the Coffee County election office with local officials. The images show Sullivan|Strickler employees working in front of computers in the office. Don't know exactly what they were doing. But that's something that you -- that you can see in these images.

And there -- and there were video depict
-- there were depictions -- visual depictions
of -- of hard and thumb drives tagged and
lying on the table. It looked like they had
been laid there in a orderly way. In -- so

now, on July 28th -- so now we're before
August of 2022, so I'm going back in time a
bit. This is a disclosure when -- when people
found out about what happened in Coffee
County. But on July 28th, before any evidence
of the activities that I just described was
known to State officials. I was advised that
evidence showed that the server retrieved from
Coffee County in 2021 and maintained by the
Secretary of State's Office had been
forensically examined. And there was evidence
the data on it was downloaded to an external
hard drive.

I required this information to be reported to the federal judge provided over the federal litigation I mentioned. And -- and to be given detail about what was known about -- about the attachment of a hard drive to the -- to the computer in Coffee County. The Board and the Secretary of State requested that a criminal investigation be opened immediately and that occurred.

The investigation is currently being conducted for the Board by the investigations of the division of the Georgia Bureau of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Investigation with help from investigators in the Secretary of State's Office assigned to the Board. Because the conduct in Coffee County paralleled conduct in other states, we requested the Federal Bureau of Investigations Office in the Southern District of Georgia to participate in the investigation. Because the request involves an election, it has to be processed through channels that probably ultimately decided (inaudible) justice in Washington, D.C. I've called to get an update on that and have not received it yet. Which I'll just say from experience that doesn't mean that the FBI isn't doing something maybe in other states. So they could be involved, but I don't know. I don't know what they're doing, if anything, with respect to Coffee County.

There's more recent -- so now I'll move forward from July, there's more recent information that has been disclosed that shows that a different forensic firm visited the Coffee County offices on January 26th of 2021 and were allowed into the offices by local official, election officials. What happened

inside the office or what the firm did inside the offices in Coffee County is uncertain.

One final thing which doesn't directly relate to Coffee County, but I wanted you to know about it. On September 20th of 2022, I received documents from an individual who had obtained them through a Georgia open records request, and they involved Spalding County. These documents show communications between Sullivan|Strickler and Spalding County Board of Elections in August of 2021. And there is an unexecuted engagement agreement for forensic collection preservation of Spalding County Elections Managing Systems. And another Spalding County iPhone forensic collections preservation engagement letter.

The purpose of the imaging is not clear, but it could have well have related to -- to Spalding County's desire to -- to have available information at the time of this collection was made because there might have been either issued or could be issued an order from a court requiring the information be preserved and it could be that they wanted to have an image because they thought they had a

legal obligation to do that. But we're investigating these communications and the relationship to see -- to understand why Sullivan|Strickler, a name which is now familiar to us, was the firm that was talking to them about that to see and assure us -- or to disclose to us how it related, if at all, to what happened in Coffee County or to -- or to assure that it was totally different and there for a different purpose.

As I said, a criminal investigation, while I don't know the details of it. I can tell you it is active and it's ongoing. I can't tell you when it will be done, but the purpose is to ultimately determine whether or not there has been conduct that warrants further review and then if necessary prosecution.

Now, you can ask questions, but I've told you everything I know. So I want to now go to the risk-limiting audits. I'm going to ask Blake Evans who's the Secretary of State's Elections Director for the State to explain -- this is (inaudible) information to explain what a risk-limiting audit is. What place

they play in elections in Georgia? And while 1 2 you can submit to me questions, I'm going to tell you that it has to pertain only to risk-3 4 limiting audits and how they work. Thank you for coming. This is Blake Evans. 5 6 MR. EVANS: All right. Thank you, Judge. 7 Does it sound okay? Can everybody hear me? 8 THE AUDIENCE: Turn the volume up. 9 MR. DUFFEY: Try that. 10 MR. EVANS: Testing. There we go. 11 THE AUDIENCE: That's good. 12 MR. EVANS: So I think what I would -what I would like to do to start off the 13 14 discussion on risk-limiting audits is to take 15 kind of a -- of a little bit of a broad 16 approach on what audits are in elections. And 17 talk a little bit about how risk-limiting audits came to be part of our practice in 18 Georgia. And then transition from that to the 19 risk-limiting audit that we have coming up 20 after November and talk about how that will be 21 22 conducted. 23 MR. DUFFEY: I think that's a good plan. 24 MR. EVANS: So why perform post-election 25 audits period, at all? And a big reason why

we perform them is because we as election officials we want to catch errors. We want to -- we want to make sure that the outcome that was certified was correct. To make sure that the person that was -- that was indicated as having won the election by the machine count was the correct person.

And so typically when we talk about a post-election audit whether it be a risk-limiting audit or otherwise, you're looking at a paper verifiable or a human readable — human readable text on a ballot. And you're coming up with a hand tally that you can then compare to the machine count. And that's auditing the machines. You can't really audit machines with those same machines. That wouldn't be an audit. You do it separately, you do it with humans. You do it with eyes looking at ballots and the text on the ballot.

And then the other reason why we do it, obviously, we want to catch errors, we want to confirm the count. But we want to increase public confidence in the election. And -- and to me, you know, doing this, of course, we

started it in 2020, but the public confidence side of it, it's a little bit kind of exercising. It's doing it consistently over a long period of time that builds that habit.

And that -- and that creates kind of that culture of auditing in Georgia elections. And I think that's important.

The objective is to verify that the machine count resulted in the correct winner, and this is done by reading human readable text that is on the ballot and coming up with a tally using that text. Post-election audits in the United States typically consist of sampling some number of ballots after the election to audit -- to audit the machine count.

There are typically two categories, we're talking about ballot audits that are used in the United States. One is a -- what most people call a traditional audit which is the method that's been used by many jurisdictions for -- for quite some time. And typically, that will come with -- with some kind of a -- a random selection of a fixed percentage of ballots or precincts. For example, I've been

in a jurisdiction before in another state where we would select about two percent. We'd pull a couple of precincts out of a hat. And those would be the precincts that -- that we would audit. And that was a fixed percentage. It was about two percent of the total number of precincts.

And then there's the risk-limiting audit. So you have kind of the traditional and then you have the risk-limiting audit. So the risk-limiting audit you may hear me refer to it using the acronym RLA throughout the presentation because that's commonly what it's referred to as. But the RLA relies on statistics and mathematics to determine the number of ballots to be audited and whether the outcome of the audits supports the outcome of the machine count with a high degree of confidence.

So one way to think about it is if it's one of the things that impacts the number of ballots to be audited greatly, is the margin of victory in the contest. So if it's a wide margin, you're only sampling a relatively small number of ballots. If it's a small

margin, you're sampling a very large number of ballots. So one of the good things about RLAs is they do adapt to the margin that you're trying to audit in the county you're trying to audit.

And just to provide a little bit of background, my experience, when I first got into elections working for a county office, it was in Pensacola, Florida. And I can remember after the first election that -- that I was there for, it would have been in 2016. We had paper ballots. And we did that fixed percentage audit that I mentioned, and we did that after every, every single election.

When I came to Georgia in 2019, of course, our State was on the verge of getting a new voting system with paper ballots that would have human readable text. That could then be audited. And so part of that process was, and I wasn't necessarily a part of it, but what Georgia was going through at that time and the legislature and in the Secretary of State's Office and the counties was preparing for that new system. Preparing for those paper ballots and the legislature passed

a law to put in place audits to audit the -- the machines.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that law is O.C.G.A. 21-2-498. that Code section clearly defined what risklimiting audits were. And talked through some other things. And so what I'm going to do is there are a few portions of that law that I want to highlight. So 21-2-498, as soon as possible, but no later than November 2020 general election, the local election superintendents shall conduct precertification tabulation audits for any federal or state general election in accordance with the requirements set forth by rule or regulation by the State Election Board. Audits under this Code section shall be conducted by manual inspection of random samples of the paper official ballots.

In conducting each audit, the local election superintendent shall complete the audit prior to the final certification of the contest. Ensure that all types of ballots are included in the audit. This is important. So whether they were cast in person, by absentee ballot, advanced voting, provisional ballots

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or otherwise. Then provide a report of the unofficial final tabulated vote provided for the unofficial final tabulated vote results for the contest to the public prior to the conduct of the audit. Complete the audit in public view. That's extremely important. Audits are -- are a very, very important part of the election system. I'll talk about it in a few minutes what we did in 2020. What we're doing upcoming to make sure that they can be viewed by the public. Provide details of the audit to the public within 48 hours of completion. And then it goes on to talk about in the law, the State Election Board promulgate rules. The Secretary of State shall conduct a risk-limiting audit pilot program with the risk limit not greater than 10 percent. And that the ending of the law states that if such risk-limiting audit is successful in achieving the specified confidence level within five business days following the election for which it was conducted, then all audits performed by the Code section shall be similarly conducted, beginning not later than November 1st, 2024.

So one of the things (unintelligible) the law was that we the State were to be working towards risk-limiting audits.

So that law was put in place, and I can remember when I came to Georgia, I participated in a pilot risk-limiting audit at the county level before coming to the Secretary of State's Office. And then after I came to the Secretary of State's Office, I participated in a pilot from the Secretary of State's side. And it became clear that one of the things that -- that we could do is instead of training election officials on traditional audits, and then down the road transitioning to risk-limiting audits, which was what the law wanted us to be at by 2024. That we could start out at -- with doing risk-limiting audits.

And so we -- we decided that that's what we're going to train on. That's what we wanted to do. And the State Elections Board drafted a rule, and that rule is 183-1-15-.04. And I'm going to highlight a few sections of that.

It starts with following the November

general elections in even numbered years, each county shall participate in statewide risk-limiting audit with a risk limit of not greater than 10 percent. I'm going to pause there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So just to -- I want to define and clarify what a risk limit is. And so when you're -- when you're talking about auditing, and you're talking about risk limits, you have to designate for the algorithms that are going to tell you how many -- how many ballots you have to sample. A -- a risk limit and because that will help determine how many ballots that you have to pull. And so the risk limit if it's 10 percent that means, essentially, would you be satisfied with a 90 percent confidence level at the end of your audit? And if the answer's yes, then you can set 10 percent risk limit you're auditing fewer ballots. would be satisfied with a 95 percent confidence level, then you can set it at five percent risk limit. You're going to be auditing a few more ballots but -- but you're going to have a higher degree of confidence in the outcomes of the audit.

And generally, what will happen is that is to kickstart the process and then at the end of the audit you'll have a report, and it will tell you what your actual risk limit was in the audit. For example, I remember one of the audits that we conducted after the Presidential preference primary in Fulton County. I remember that one specifically. I think it was a 10 percent risk limit or a five percent risk limit. And the actual risk limit after we audited the ballots was under one percent. And we had that in the report afterwards.

So going back to the State Election Board rule, prior to county certification the election superintendent of each county shall prepare a ballot manifest. So a ballot manifest is a document that lists all the ballot containers following the election and the number of ballots that are in each container. Another word for container could be batch. So a batch of ballots.

Going back to the rule, the contested audit shall be selected by the Secretary of State. The Secretary of State shall set a

date, time, and location after the November general election in even numbered years to select which contest to audit. Such meeting shall be open to the public. After selecting the contest to audit, the Secretary of State shall publicly announce which contest will be audited and published the selected contest on Secretary of State webpage.

In selecting the contest to audit, the Secretary of State shall consider the below criteria: The closeness of the reported tabulation outcomes. The geographical scope of the contest because we wanted it to be a statewide audit, so it needed be a contest across all counties. The number of ballots counted in the contest. Any calls for concern regarding the accuracy of the reported tabulation outcome of the contest. Any other benefits that may result in auditing certain contests or the ability of the county to complete the audit before the State certification deadline.

And then another key part that was reiterated in the State Election Board rule, was that the audit shall be open to the public

and public notice of the date, time and location of the audit must be posted on the county election office's website or if the county election's office does not have a website, in another prominent location. So that was the first half of the rule.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next half of the rule got into the actual conduct of the audit. So the audit shall be open to the view of public and press, but no person except the persons designated by the election superintendent or the superintendent's authorized deputy shall touch the ballot or ballot container. Each election superintendents shall create audit teams comprised of at least two sworn designees, so they have to take an oath, and have to take an oath, to assist with the audit. Chain of custody for each ballot shall be maintained at all times during the audit, including but not limited to, a log of the seal numbers on the ballot containers. Before and after completing the manual audit.

For ballots marked by electronic ballot markers, the auditors shall rely on the printed text on the ballot to determine the

voter's selection. For ballots marked by hand, the auditor shall rely on the choices indicated by the voter filling in the oval adjacent to the candidate or question. So there are other parts of the rule. Those are the parts that I wanted to highlight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so with -- with the law and the -and the State Election Board rule being set and with -- with -- with those in mind, and knowing that we wanted to move forward in 2020 with a risk-limiting audit. We had to select a system or a vendor that could do a couple of One, we needed from a things for us. statistical and mathematic side, we needed a system that can consume ballot manifests from 159 different entities. And so, for example, Bartow County might have a ballot manifest with 200 batches of ballots in it. system had to consume his ballot manifest along with that of every other county and create one long list. The total number of batches in the 2020 election was somewhere right around just -- just over 40,000 batches in the State.

And so the system had to do that and then

the system had to be able to run the algorithms to determine, okay, how many ballots based on the contest that's selected need to be audited so that we have a statistically significant sample and so that when we do the hand tally, the outcome will achieve the -- the confidence level that we want.

And -- and going back to 2020, obviously, the margin was very, very close. So what I said earlier, the narrower the margin, the more ballots there were to be sampled. So the number of ballots to be sampled was so large, well over two million ballots that it became much more simpler and faster to hand count all ballots statewide then to try randomly sample 2.5 million or however many ballots it was but right around that number.

So what that does, when you're not sampling anymore, your risk limit goes to zero because you're literally counting all ballots. So you have no risk limit set at that point. Typically audits are samples. This was not. The risk limit was set at zero.

Going back to the system that we use.

That system that we chose was through a company called Voting Works and the system that was used was called ARLO. In Voting Works some of the funding came from DHS and CISA in order to create the ARLO tool which they used in our jurisdiction as part of the pilot program that they were doing in cooperation with CISA and then a few other jurisdictions, as well.

So we trained counties. Counties went through and they -- there were 41,881 ballots that they hand tallied over the span of about six days, and they hand tallied those. The error rate in the hand count can typically be a little over one percent, around two percent. The error rate when compared to the original machine count that we had in 2020, was .73 percent so we were well under that. We confirmed that outcome of the election through that.

And so if we fast forward to today -- so to be clear, that was according to the law, the -- the one and only time that counties, since the law was put into place, were required to conduct a risk-limiting audit.

The next election where they would be required to conduct a risk-limiting audit is this upcoming November 2022 election. There are some counties that have conducted risk-limiting audits since then. One of them is in the room, Joseph Kirk in the back, he conducts an audit after every single election that he has. And that's a typical but I think it is an excellent practice he's been able to earn a lot of public confidence that way.

So fast forward to today, and thinking about lessons that we've learned in 2020, and what we plan to do in 2022. So we're still using Voting Works. We're still using ARLO. And we will be conducting a batch comparison risk-limiting audit. Here's what that means: After the election, the Secretary of State will follow the State Election Board rule to select whatever contest it is that will be selected to audit. The counties will upload their ballot manifests so their list of their batch containers. Their list of ballots into ARLO. And we'll -- we'll select a risk limit because that's one of the things that we have to do. Typically other jurisdictions -- I'll

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

use Colorado for example, because they have been using this style of audit for a while. They set their risk limit around four to five percent. We'll set it at probably at least that, around five percent. We -- we may decide to get higher. But that's something we can discuss.

And then what counties will get is instead of getting back a list of specific ballots that they have to go pull, like we were training for in 2020, we're using a different style of risk-limiting audit. What they will get is a list of batches that they have to go pull. And they will be hand tallying complete batches. Here's the good thing about that. Is let's say that Appling County gets told, go pull the batch from precinct one in Appling County and do a hand tally for that. Appling County will go, they'll pull election day batch one. They'll hand tally those ballots. They'll have the results at the end. They can put the results of their hand tally into ARLO.

And then at the end of the audit, once all the counties have counted their batches,

we'll publish all that information on our website. We did that in 2020, but it will be a report of the results of every batch in the hand audit. And so anybody that wants to can go and they can look and they can find the batch from Appling County election day precinct one. This is what the hand tally was. They can look at scanner tapes, look at something that was produced by the machines themselves. And they can compare the results by candidate. And so they can do that for every -- any batch that's audited in the batch comparison risk-limiting audit that we're doing.

And so every county will be audited.

I'll go ahead and tell you that before I go into more detail, we all know that the election is on November the 8th, Tuesday,

November the 8th. Counties have to certify by November the 15th. The start of the audit is going to be Thursday, November the 17th and then all counties have to be finished by Tuesday, November the 22nd. So including the start and end dates that's six days that they have to complete the audit.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Following that, we have all the results we, again, just like we did in 2020, because we want to be as transparent as possible, we're going to put all that information, all the batch sheets, all the tally sheets, all the reports produced on the website so anybody can go and look at it. All ballot types will be audited. Every county will be auditing during that timespan so every county will be -- will have batches to audit. The audit will be open to the public. There will be audit monitors. One of the things that I will highlight specifically and then we'll probably be doing something very similar this time around. But if we go back in how we trained counties in 2020 and how we're training again. One of the official election bulletins that was put out by the Director of Elections for our office at that time in 2020, spoke specifically to public access and political party monitors. And I'm going to read you some exert of

And I'm going to read you some exert of that. The audit shall be open to the public and the press. But no persons except the persons designated by the superintendent shall

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

touch any ballot or container. The superintendent shall designate a viewing area from which members of the public and press may observe the audit for the purpose of good order in maintaining the integrity of the audit. The superintendent may also choose to make the audit proceeding available via livestream or webcast.

And then if I fast forward to another official election bulletin that was put out just a couple days after that one. We put out an addendum that clarified that as an addendum to the rules of political parties monitors and because transparency should be a guiding principle throughout this process. If the election superintendent can safely allow -because this was in the time of COVID -- if election superintendents can safely allow more than the minimum number of designated political party monitors, consistent with maintaining an orderly process, space limitations and social distancing, public health guidelines if you should. Please allow as much transparency as you can while maintaining a secure, orderly process in

abiding your public health regulations.

So that's going to be the message again. As much transparency during the audit process as we can possibly get. It's going to be a little bit easier this year because of things related to the pandemic. But that's going to be our message again and has been our message so far.

So in order to start the audit, as randomly as possible. Because we don't want anybody to think that any of this was planned out or that we knew what batches were coming. As I mentioned, counties upload their ballot manifest into ARLO. And then the algorithm that runs has to have a -- has a random number generator that is used to select the -- the batches randomly.

But it's a little bit of fun thing, in order for that to happen, the random number generator needs to 20-digit number put into that. And so as part as -- of the kickoff process there will be 20, I think it's 10-sided, 10-sided dice or die, that will be rolled. So if -- Mark (unintelligible) is in the room, I know you asked about that last

week, I think. We have public in that process, you know, we'll probably invite a few people up. They can take a dice, they can roll it, and the first number is three, the first number that -- of the 20 digit number will be three. And we'll go on until we have a 20-digit number. We'll put that into the system. ARLO will kickoff and that's when the counties will be given the -- their list of batches to go audit.

Then counties take their individual lists notating which ballots they must pull to be audited. Each county will have the number of audit teams they think they need to complete the audit. So we're giving counties six days. We're telling them prepare for a slim margin. And in the event that you have to count a lot of ballots, make sure you can complete in that timespan. And once counties receive the list to be audited, they will begin on November the 17th.

So when the audit begins, let's say it's the start of -- it's the start of the audit.

Everybody's starting on November 17th. If -- any county that you go into, you should see

that their ballots are securely stored. They 1 2 have their batch sheet. So what they'll do is they'll bring their ballots out of storage 3 4 with the proper chain of custody paperwork. They'll take their ballots in their batch to a 5 check-in table, keep in mind every county, 6 7 will do this a little bit differently 8 depending on the size of the county. But 9 essentially, they'll take it to a check-in 10 table. A team at the check-in table will check the seal on the -- on the batch. And 11 12 then that batch will be -- the -- the seal 13 will be broken. The batch taken to an audit 14 board team that's sworn an oath and then the 15 audit board team will hand tally the batch. And they'll have results and then the results 16 will ultimately be put in ARLO. 17 18 Once the batch has finished being audited, it will go to a check-out team. 19 20 check-out team will make sure that the ballots are stored in the batch. They'll seal it 21 22 back. They'll record the seal number and then the batch will be returned to secure storage. 23 If there are questionable marks or anything 24 25 like that a ballot that needs to be looked at.

There will be -- counties will have vote review panels which are comprised just the same way vote review panels are comprised for any election. So the party nominees and the election superintendent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So at the end, counties will enter their batch totals into ARLO. We will get the reports under results of batches with the batch names. One of the things I want to note at this time, is that the batch names, because I know a lot of folks like to go, they like to look at the batches. And they want to try to compare those to the machine tallies. heard that feedback from 2020. The batch names that are produced by ARLO should be very close to the same or the exact same as what comes out of the Dominion system. Again, that makes it possible for anybody that wants to go and compare what a Dominion machine got for the count to what humans got for the count. They can do that. So that makes that possible.

So, again, after -- it'll be the Tuesday before Thanksgiving that the audit will conclude and then we will release the reports

following that. Make them publicly available. 1 2 The 2020 documents are still on our website. The Secretary of State's Election Division. 3 4 You can go -- click on the election results, scroll down to the bottom, you'll see a link 5 6 to risk-limiting audit 2020. You can click 7 there and see all the documents. 8 We'll also start the process -- we'll 9 immediately make the reports available for 10 people go look at. And we'll also start the 11 process of collecting the batch sheets that 12 the counties use for -- because each 13 individual batch has its own tally, and it 14 goes on its own batch sheet, we'll work on 15 collecting those and then posting those again. So, again, the -- what we're -- what 16 we're striving for goes back to -- we want to 17 catch any errors, if there are any. We want 18 to confirm the outcome of the contest. 19 20 want to build public confidence. That's what we want to do. And I think doing that 21 22 consistently over -- over a period of time is -- is the way to do that. We're -- we're 23 doing the audit in November. I know that I've 24 25 heard from multiple county election officials

that there's a lot of interest even if the law 1 2 doesn't require it to do an audit following the run-off as I mentioned Joseph in the back 3 4 from Bartow County does an audit after every election, but audits are an extremely useful 5 6 tool. Building public confidence. Auditing 7 the machine. Being able to say that you 8 looked at the human readable text and you confirmed the outcome based on that. 9 10 important. 11 So with that I'll take any -- any 12 questions that you have. MR. DUFFEY: Well, I'm a little still 13 14 unclear about what a batch is. 15 MR. EVANS: Yeah. Good question. 16 batch. So the question was essentially what 17 is -- what is a batch? 18 And so there are different types of voting methods. So you have election day. 19 20 You have absentee. And then you have advance 21 voting. For election day, typically, what a 22 batch will refer to is however many ballots 23 that were counted by a scanner. And so upon 24 election day, you had one scanner at your 25 polling place, 500 people came and voted, cast

their ballot through the scanner, the ballots 1 2 drop into a ballot box. Then that batch for the election day precinct will be 500. 3 4 If your election day precinct had two scanners, then your election day precinct 5 6 would have two batches. Because each 7 scanner's going to print out its own 8 individual results -- results tape and you 9 want to be able to compare the results from 10 the batch to the tape. For advanced voting, similar concept. 11 12 you had over the course of three weeks, a scanner that takes 5,000 ballots, then that 13 14 batch for that advanced voting location is 15 going to have 5,000 ballots in it. 16 For absentee, let's say a county gets in 17 2,000 absentee batches (sic), they can have --18 generally what counties will do is they'll -they'll cap their batches --19 20 MR. DUFFEY: You -- you mean 2,000 -- you mean 2,000 absentee ballots? You said 21 22 batches. 23 MR. EVANS: Yes, I'm sorry. 2,000 --2,000 absentee batches (sic) beginning back in 24 25 2020 territory. I don't think we're going to

quite hit that. But -- but 2,000 absentee 1 2 ballots -- generally what a county will do is 3 they'll cap each batch at about 50. And so 4 they'll take 50 ballots, they'll run those through the scanner. That'll be batch --5 6 that'll be absentee batch one. They'll take 7 the next 50 and run those through the scanner, 8 absentee batch two. And so on until they 9 complete the process. 10 And then a similar process with 11 provisionals. So if there are 25 provisionals 12 after the election, that are to be counted, oftentimes those will be in their own batch. 13 14 Counties will run those through. That'll be 15 provisional batch one. 16 MR. DUFFEY: So the question is: Are the 17 actual ballots, which I assume are the paper 18 ballots? Is that what you're talking about? Isn't this your -- is this your question? 19 20 MS. BRADSHAW: Mine, yes. 21 MR. DUFFEY: When you say actual ballot, 22 you mean the paper ballots that are --23 MS. BRADSHAW: The paper ballots that go 24 into the ballot box. 25 MR. DUFFEY: Right. Are they used for --

are those the ballots used for the audit? 1 2 MR. EVANS: Yes. Yes. Those are the -the ballots that the audit teams are doing the 3 4 hand tally --5 MS. BRADSHAW: And do people just tally 6 or are those ballots run through the scanners 7 again? 8 MR. EVANS: So the -- the audit will be -9 - that's a good question -- so the question 10 was: Do people tally or are the batches -are the ballots ran through the scanner? The 11 12 audit would be conducted completely separate 13 from the machines. And so it will be 14 generally what -- what folks will do is what 15 we call sort and stack method. And so if it's candidate A and candidate 16 B, and they have a batch of 50, the first 17 thing they'll do when they get that batch of 18 50 is they'll sort into candidate A, candidate 19 20 A, candidate A, candidate B. And then once they've sorted the ballots that way, they'll 21 22 count the pages. They'll count the ballots. And that's how they come up with their tally. 23 MR. DUFFEY: Well, this question, Mr. 24 Balbona, wants us to know whether or not 25

there's a specific article authored by a 1 2 fellow by the name of Phillip Stark. I'm going to say this. I have read so much I 3 4 don't know who wrote what. So I couldn't answer that question. I may have. I may not 5 6 have. 7 MR. BALBONA: That was a personal letter. 8 He's the creator of Risk Limiting Audits and gave a letter to Brad Raffensperger and talks 9 10 about us using RLAs with the Dominion (inaudible). I just wondering if everyone up 11 12 there on the podium has read it. 13 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Is that the --14 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Could you speak into 15 the microphone, please? 16 MR. BALBONA: He says it's election 17 (inaudible). 18 MR. DUFFEY: I'm -- I'm going to say the same thing. I get so much paper and there 19 have been a number of letters, I mean, I don't 20 21 remember that. But I'm not saying -- and I'm 22 going to say -- and I'm not going to make 23 everybody else do this memory test about what 24 they had --25 MR. BALBONA: I mean, he's literally the

creator of Risk Limiting Audits he -- he came 1 2 up with it. MR. DUFFEY: Oh, I understand that. 3 4 The question is whether or not -- and who wrote this? This tiny little one. 5 MS. DUFORT: I'm sorry. 6 7 MR. DUFFEY: And your name, please? 8 MS. DUFORT: Jeanne DuFort. Jeanne 9 DuFort. 10 MR. DUFFEY: Has a decision been made as 11 to how many statewide contests are going to be 12 audited? 13 MR. EVANS: So the -- the plan right now 14 as the -- the law requires is to audit one 15 contest statewide following November. And 16 then as I -- as I mentioned, I have heard 17 feedback from county election officials that 18 there's interest in -- in auditing a contest following the runoff. And -- and I'll say 19 20 again, I think the -- the best way to be able 21 to -- to build public confidence by using 22 audits is to do it consistently over a period 23 of time. And so I think it's a very good idea 24 in the future for us to look at ways how to 25 audit after every contest similar to how

Joseph does. Similar to what, you know, other 1 2 -- other county election officials are starting to do because there's -- there's a 3 4 lot of county election officials that see the significance and the importance of audits. 5 But to go back to the original question. 6 7 Looking at one contest following November. 8 MR. DUFFEY: And where does the -- how do you determine what the confidence rate that 9 10 you're seeking is -- is going to be. Then how 11 do you determine whether it's met or not. 12 MR. EVANS: Yeah. That's a good 13 question. So that -- that goes back to the --14 the risk limit that -- that we were talking 15 about. So the law says that it needs to be a 16 minimum -- or that it they cannot be any 17 higher than 10 percent. And then once you 18 complete the audit, based on the -- the results of the hand tally. The report will --19 20 will tell you what you're actual risk limit So initially, the -- the number that 21 22 you're selecting is to help kickoff the 23 To help determine how many ballots process. you want to start auditing. It's not 24 25 necessarily based on what the hand tally is,

what's your risk limit will end up being. 1 2 But, again, if we look at other jurisdictions, and what they typically do, 3 4 with risk-limiting audits, ranges from four or five to 10 percent. So we might do something 5 in lines with that. But what we want to do is 6 7 we want to make sure that every county is --8 that we're auditing enough ballots and that 9 every county's participating in the audit. We 10 want to make sure that as the State Election 11 Board rule and the law says, we're auditing 12 every type of ballot, (unintelligible) 13 ballots, absentee, hand marked ballots. 14 And so then -- then at the end once we do 15 that and then like I said, we'll get that 16 report that says what the actual risk limit 17 was. 18 MR. DUFFEY: And when you quoted this 10 percent figure, you referred to a law. 19 20 law is that? Where's that law at? 21 MR. EVANS: So at the end of O.C.G.A. 21-22 2-498. It says the Secretary of State shall 23 conduct a risk-limiting -- and this is talking about the pilot programs. But conduct a risk-24 25 limiting audit pilot program with a risk of

not greater than 10 percent. And so that's typically what we used for the audit. And then if you go to the State Election Board rule 183-1-15-.04, following November general elections in even numbered years each county shall participate in a statewide risk-limiting audit with the risk limit of not greater than 10 percent as set forth in this rule prior to the certification by the Secretary of State.

MR. DUFFEY: Who -- how do you determine who's going to be on an audit team?

MR. EVANS: So how do you determine who's going to be on an audit team? So counties usually use that State Election Board rule that I referenced to be their -- their guide for who they could ask. And I'll read from that rule.

The election superintendent shall create audit teams comprised of at least two sworn designees to assist with the audit. The superintendent may designate non-employees to assist with the audit process. All persons who the superintendent designates to assist with the audit shall take and sign an oath that they will conduct the audit accurately

and securely prior to assisting with the 1 audit. And I know one of the things the 2 3 county do in practice is they'll reach out to 4 the poll workers first and give them the 5 opportunity. 6 MR. FERGUSON: There's follow-up part to 7 that question, sir. 8 MR. DUFFEY: It's a repeat question about 9 Phillip Stark. He's here to explain how the 10 audit process works. If there is no --11 MR. FERGUSON: No. 12 MR. DUFFEY: Excuse me it's not --13 MR. FERGUSON: -- if there is public 14 access, can it be stated at the public can be 15 placed on it and actually see what is going 16 on. Like Fulton County won't let you within 17 30 feet. 18 MR. EVANS: Yes, for sure, that's what's outlined in the rule is that and it's -- put 19 20 the official election bulletin was that everybody should have access to be able to see 21 22 what's going on. Now, if it's, you know, and 23 I say that knowing that where we were at in 24 2020. And having the audits of the ballots, 25 if you have 75 audit teams and you're --

you're standing watching one audit team, you 1 2 may not be able to see what the audit team way over on the other side of the room is doing. 3 4 But if you find yourself in a position where you do not have access to be able to see -- to 5 be able to see audit teams. Be able to see 6 7 the audit, that is something our office would 8 -- would want to know. 9 MR. DUFFEY: What have you done to ensure 10 that these audits will, in fact, work in the Dominion system? 11 12 MR. EVANS: So the question was: have you done to make sure they'll work with 13 14 the Dominion system. 15 So work with Voting Works the ballots 16 have human readable text. And so the audit 17 itself, is conducted separate from any machine or equipment company period. So as long as 18 there's a human -- human readable text that 19 20 you have an audit team look at the ballot. See what the choices are and tally -- hand 21 22 count that way. The audit would work with any kind of system. 23 MR. DUFFEY: So the -- the audit is 24 25 really taking the produced paper ballot which

is reviewed by the elector who puts it into 1 2 the scanner. Then you compare that paper 3 ballot against the machine count? 4 MR. EVANS: Correct. MR. LINDSEY: Quick question sort of 5 6 leading part of the question that was asked a moment ago. If -- if there is a question that 7 8 a -- that an authorized observer has regarding 9 a county's compliance with public access, how 10 do they get ahold of the Secretary of State's Office to have that addressed? And I think 11 12 it'd be important for folks to know that. 13 MR. EVANS: Yes. So we do have our --14 and one -- one of the easiest ways to do it is 15 just as far as what we put in public 16 communication, is to go to our website and on 17 our online form there. But we do have our --18 I'm going to call him out real quick. Nic what is our --19 20 MR. LINDSEY: You can call a friend if 21 you need to. 22 MR. EVANS: Yeah -- yeah. So -- so elections@sos.ga.gov that would be a great way 23 to reach us that way. But, I mean, if you're 24 25 having an issue with meaningful access at a --

at an audit location, the best thing to do is 1 2 to talk to the superintendent -- the election superintendent there. And to -- to address --3 4 to try to address it that way. Because a lot of times if they know about it, they'll 5 resolve it. 6 7 MR. LINDSEY: But if they don't, how do 8 they get ahold of the Secretary of State's Office? 9 10 MR. EVANS: Yeah. A great way to do that would be call us. 11 12 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: What's the number? 13 MR. EVANS: You can call us. And then 14 there's elections@sos.ga.gov. 15 MR. NORTHEN: There's also a really 16 convenient contact us form on our website. 17 And it is staffed, and those submissions do 18 get circulated amongst our team and sent over to either investigations or our team will 19 20 contact a county official based on, you know, an inquiry that was submitted that way. 21 22 there's -- there's a few -- a few ways to do Like, Blake mentioned, calling us. But -23 - but that -- that form on our website 24 25 immediately triggers an email to multiple

```
members of the election staff. So it's kind
 1
 2
         of the -- the best way to reach multiple folks
 3
         at once, if you will.
 4
              MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
              MR. FERGERSON: What's the time limit?
 5
 6
              MR. NORTHEN: sos.ga.gov.
 7
              MR. EVANS: Yeah. Okay. What was the
 8
         question?
 9
              MR. FERGERSON:
                              The question dealt with a
10
         timely response. What you're talking about
         will take a month.
11
12
              MR. EVANS: I wouldn't say that. I get -
13
         - we get stuff that comes to our office pretty
14
         -- pretty quickly. And if it's, I mean, if
15
         it's -- we respond to it as fast as we can.
16
         And if there's something that needs an urgent
17
         response like we're in the middle of an audit,
18
         and there are people that can't get access,
19
         which is something that qualifies as needing
20
         an urgent response. We'll get somebody on it.
21
              MR. DUFFEY: So this question is about
22
         the photography rule which Judge Brouillette
23
         struck down. I don't think he struck it down.
24
              MR. BALBONA: He said it was too broad.
25
              MR. DUFFEY: I think he struck it down.
```

Well, first of all, I don't remember in the 1 2 opinion how he described who -- what? MR. BALBONA: He said it was too broad. 3 4 MR. DUFFEY: Well, what I'm saying is, I think the details of what he struck down --5 6 MR. BALBONA: What he struck down was 7 saying that you could never take a picture of 8 any -- or video any ballot that's been cast. But there's no identifying information on the 9 10 ballot or there shouldn't be. So it makes no 11 sense. 12 MR. DUFFEY: Well -- well, you'll have to 13 take that up with Judge Brouillette. 14 MR. BALBONA: My point is that the county 15 won't do it and even when I handed DeKalb 16 County the printout of the ruling, they still 17 wouldn't let me. 18 MR. DUFFEY: I don't want to argue about your desire to video. But has that happened 19 20 since Judge Brouillette's ruling which was 21 really pretty recently? 22 MR. BALBONA: That was after. It was 23 after. 24 MR. DUFFEY: What election was that? 25 MR. BALBONA: That was the (inaudible).

It was the democratic recount in DeKalb 1 2 County. MR. DUFFEY: What I would do is I would 3 4 talk to DeKalb County and ask why they did that in light of Judge Brouillette's ruling. 5 MR. BALBONA: I have, and I asked them if 6 7 they could cite what O.C.G.A they are using 8 (inaudible) and I said, you're not just pulling it out of your butt? And she said, 9 10 yes. We're just pulling it out of our butt. 11 And I have it on tape if you want to hear it. 12 MR. DUFFEY: Did you file a complaint on 13 them? 14 MR. BALBONA: I did. And if Sarah 15 (inaudible) was here maybe she could tell me 16 the status of that. But apparently the system 17 that SOS uses can't look up complaints per 18 complainant. 19 MR. DUFFEY: Yeah. 20 MR. BALBONA: That seems very archaic. 21 MR. DUFFEY: I'll talk to her and see 22 where your complaint stands. 23 MR. BALBONA: Thank you. 24 MR. DUFFEY: Sara you wanted to ask a 25 question?

MS. GHAZAL: Thank you, yes. I wanted to 1 2 clarify that batch is not necessarily equal precinct, correct? So in the case of -- of 3 4 election day voting, a batch of ballots may or may not be the total for the precinct if a 5 6 precinct has more than one scanner it could be 7 just one scanner. But in a case of early 8 voting and absentee voting, those could be from any and all precincts across the county; 9 10 that's correct, right? 11 MR. EVANS: Correct. So to -- to go back 12 to the first part. If you have two scanners 13 at an election day location, and you each --14 one scanner took in 600 ballots and the other 15 scanner took 400 ballots those are two 16 separate batches. So the 400 batch ballot --17 ballot batch gets selected to be audited, then 18 the results from that -- will -- can be 19 compared for the scanner tape for that one scanner, but it wouldn't be the -- the entire 20 21 polling locations. 22 MS. GHAZAL: Right. 23 MR. EVANS: Same thing with absentees. 24 There are reports. It's -- there are reports 25 that break it out -- that break out results by

1 batch. And so somebody could take a report 2 like that in the Dominion system and compare the results that way. If -- if they wanted to 3 4 for the absentee batch. MS. GHAZAL: Thank you. I just wanted to 5 6 make sure that folks understand that it's not 7 going to be a one to one comparison between 8 what they find on the Secretary of State's 9 website which reports by precinct versus reporting by a batch. Those are different 10 11 groups of ballots and it's possible to make 12 that comparison. But it -- it could be 13 confusing if you're not -- if you don't 14 understand what you're --15 MR. EVANS: Correct. 16 MR. DUFFEY: This risk limitation audit you find some discrepancy, what's the process 17 for addressing a discrepancy? 18 19 MR. EVANS: That's a good question. 20 you have the initial sample of ballots. ARLO has given each county a list of batches 21 22 to go and look at. And to report the results 23 If when those results are put into from. ARLO, if -- if they don't align with the 24 25 results from the machine count, what ARLO will

1 tell you is we're going to a second round of 2 auditing. We're going to audit more batches. And you will continue that process if you 3 4 continue to find errors and discrepancies until you have audited all ballots. And if 5 6 you audit -- if you get to that point where 7 you have audited all ballots, and you find 8 there was an issue with the election, then it is before a State certification. 9 10 counties who find errors could re-certify. 11 And ensure that the State can certify the 12 correct results. 13 MS. PRETTYMAN: I suppose is that what 14 you're recommending for the discrepancies in 15 hand counts ballots for the primary? Do you 16 recommend counties pursue that? 17 MR. EVANS: What was that -- I could not 18 hear. 19 MS. PRETTYMAN: My question: In -- in 20 the primary there had been hand counts that had discrepancies. And so, I guess, what 21 22 you're recommending is that we pursue that further and count more? 23 MR. EVANS: You said there was a hand 24 25 count that had discrepancies?

1 MS. PRETTYMAN: Yes. Multiple counties 2 and it hasn't been dealt with --MR. EVANS: Hand -- hand counts are 3 4 generally less reliant than machine counts. And so I wouldn't be surprised if they had 5 6 discrepancies. 7 MS. PRETTYMAN: No. They were 8 (inaudible) --9 MR. DUFFEY: I'm going to let you take 10 that up. That's not about generally, Risk Limitation Audits, that's a complaint you have 11 12 with respect to a specific election. So your 13 option is to bring that to the attention of 14 whomever you want to. But this is not the 15 place. 16 This -- this is -- your question has 17 nothing to do with risk limitation audit so 18 I'm not going to ask if it's a complaint about 19 past elections. 20 MS. PRETTYMAN: Well, that --21 MR. DUFFEY: It -- it says here, from 22 previous elections and push back. So I'm not 23 going to ask that question. But if you -- you 24 also have options, if you want to be more 25 precise about what your concern is, you can

submit to the dedicated email address, and 1 2 I'll see that if it's -- it makes sense to 3 have a response that will get in the hands of 4 the right person. Who asked this question? 5 MS. STEVENS: I believe that's mine, sir. 6 7 MR. DUFFEY: And your name? MS. STEVENS: Nan Stevens. 8 9 MR. DUFFEY: This is a question of how --10 how -- well, I'll read it to you -- it's what 11 is the use of the QR code in auditing if we're 12 -- if the written ballot is being used to verify the vote. 13 14 MR. EVANS: So really the QR code is not 15 used for anything in an audit. You're using 16 the human readable text. 17 MS. STEVENS: So why do we even have it 18 on the ballot? MR. EVANS: So the machine reads the QR 19 20 code. And then you audit to audit the machine count to confirm you've got the right outcome. 21 22 MS. STEVENS: Both you and the previous presenter have always stated that you're going 23 to go back to written readable text when doing 24 25 an audit. So my question is: Because they

```
make machines that can read readable text.
 1
 2
         What is the purpose of the QR code?
 3
              MR. EVANS: So our scanners can read the
 4
         ballots.
              MS. STEVENS: So the scanners --
 5
              MR. DUFFEY: Excuse me.
 6
              MS. STEVENS: -- are not reading the
 7
 8
         readable text, they're only reading the QR
 9
         code?
10
              MR. EVANS: The scanners read the QR
11
         codes.
12
              MS. STEVENS: Thank you, sir.
13
              UNKNOWN SPEAKER: In violation of the
14
         law.
15
              MS. STEVENS: And both you and the other
16
         fellow --
17
              MR. DUFFEY: Excuse me. Excuse me. This
18
         is not your chance to argue with the
19
         presenter.
20
              MS. STEVENS: Yes, sir.
21
              MR. DUFFEY: If you want to do something
22
         that's more elaborate or make your point
23
         again, you can do it in writing and submit it
24
         to the website.
25
              MS. STEVENS: Yes, sir.
```

MR. DUFFEY: Thank you. Can counties do 1 2 other kinds of audits if they so desire? NR, EVANS: Yes. Yeah. There have been 3 4 counties that have gone -- like Bartow but many others, I just say, Joseph because he's 5 6 in the room, who can conduct audits. 7 the preference especially if you're -- you're 8 auditing a -- if you're auditing a state contest, like for counties that may have 9 10 conducted audits in -- in May is to complete the audit before State certification. But I 11 12 think, I don't know, Ryan, if you want to say 13 anything more to that. But -- but, yes, 14 counties can go above and beyond the rule that 15 is written. 16 MR. DUFFEY: And who wrote the little 17 note with the butterfly? 18 That's my question. MS. CRUZ: 19 MR. DUFFEY: And your name is? 20 MS. CRUZ: Victoria Cruz, Athens, 21 Georgia. 22 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you. In case you 23 haven't gotten the drift here your name should be on your question. 24 25 MS. CRUZ: I'm sorry. I didn't

1 (inaudible). 2 MR. DUFFEY: Okay. Any -- any other 3 questions from the Board? So thank you so very 4 DR. JOHNSTON: Yes. 5 much for this presentation. I think audits 6 are the important piece of an election these 7 days to confirm that the outcome is correct. 8 And also, to provide some confidence in the 9 outcome. There are -- there are some 10 assumptions and requirements for RLAs that we -- we need to all be aware of and one of 11 12 course is correct tabulation by the machines. 13 We also need to make sure that all the results 14 are complete and in and frozen and unchanged 15 throughout the audit process. So that 16 counties can't go back and rearrange their 17 data. There needs to be an -- an accurate and 18 trustworthy paper trail with proper and documented chain of custody for every step of 19 20 the way involving the ballot -- all the 21 ballots. Like you said, thank you, are 22 included in the audit and very important is the ballot manifest and we need to make sure 23 24 that all counties have an accurate ballot 25 manifest or the RLA will fail. So things to

be aware of. I would encourage bi-partisan participation in witnessing of the chain of custody of the ballots even to the point of retrieval of the ballots from the secure storage brought to the audit table. I think there are plenty of willing bi-partisan folks that would sign up to be a part of that team. Not to touch the ballots, but just to witness the transfer. And I would encourage livestream video of the audit.

In the medical -- in the science world, statistical significance is generally agreed upon to be the point (inaudible) level .05 percent -- .05 which is a five percent max on as far as a risk limit. So I would be -- I would expect a -- a RLA to be that at least or better. And also, what do you see in the future, if you had a perfect world as far as audits? What would you recommend for -- for Georgia?

MR. EVANS: That's a big question. I didn't know I was going to get that. I want to see audits after every election. I think that's something we've discussed in our -- our office. And I think we -- I think we all like

that idea. I think it's good for -- for 1 2 counties. I think one thing to keep in mind with this particular audit that we have coming 3 4 up is for -- for many counties the -- the law is written such that the audit is conducted 5 6 after even year general elections, so for many 7 counties they have not conducted an audit since two years ago. And that audit was 8 different because it was a full hand recount. 9 10 So this is the first time that many of them will conduct kind of that sampling audit. So 11 12 there -- we're training on them. We're --13 we're training on the audits. We're -- we're 14 very confident. But it will be the -- the 15 first time they've conducted an audit like 16 this. So I think we should keep that in mind. But I think also going forward I think we need 17 18 to look for ways to expand audits. A way to have audits after every election. Because it 19 20 is -- it's good practice. It confirms the outcome. And it -- it builds public 21 22 confidence. 23 MR. DUFFEY: Okay. Just one last In an RLA audit, how are 24 25 adjudicated ballots treated and handled?

1 MR. EVANS: So the question was: 2 risk-limiting audit how are adjudicated ballots handled. So if it was a ballot that 3 4 went through the adjudication process. there was a teams of vote review panel 5 6 initially in the original count that had to 7 look at a ballot. Maybe it was -- maybe there 8 was an error in a mark or for some reason had to look at the ballot and try to make a 9 10 decision of voter intent. We see those on 11 hand marked paper ballots. There are also 12 vote review panels that are part of a 13 risk-limiting audit process. And so if 14 there's a ballot that needs to be quote/unquote adjudicated in a risk-limiting 15 16 audit, it can also go to a vote review panel 17 for a decision to be made on it. 18 MR. DUFFEY: There is one more question about why don't you transfer calls better in 19 20 your office. But I'm going to let that person 21 talk to you. 22 MR. EVANS: Working on it. I mean, we have a dedicated group of individuals that I'm 23 very proud to work with them. You know, I 24 think there's not many of us. We've got 20 25

full-time staff in our election division. 1 2 can look at North Carolina. They've got over 60. You know, there's not many of us. We 3 4 serve the counties. And there's a lot of dedicated county election officials too that 5 6 do a darn good job. And I know, you know, one 7 was mentioned earlier and on the agenda. That 8 is -- I do want to say publicly that we've got 9 a lot of very dedicated, very good county 10 election officials that have -- many of them who have dedicated their whole lives to public 11 12 service. And they -- they want public confidence. The elections are at their heart. 13 14 And they serve the public as best they can. So I want to say that. 15 MS. BRADSHAW: Could I say something else 16 about the adjudicated? I know like in Fulton 17 County in the 2020 election, Richard whatever 18 his last name was, said there were 106,000 19 20 adjudicated ballots? MR. EVANS: He was wrong. 21 22 MS. BRADSHAW: Okay. Well, that's good. But -- but do you get the -- the ballot image 23 that someone came and revoted for them, or do 24 25 you get the original ballot in a risk-limiting

1 audit? 2 MR. EVANS: The -- you get -- you're 3 looking at the original ballot. You're 4 looking at the original ballot to make your own determination as part of the audit. 5 6 MR. DUFFEY: All right. The last agenda 7 item is a discussion amongst the members of 8 the Board based upon any observations or 9 insights that you had during the course of the 10 presentations today. One thing I would like 11 to know is was this exercise worthwhile? It 12 took a fair amount of time to put it together. 13 But -- but one of the things that we're trying 14 to do for the Board and since all our meetings 15 are open. I think ultimately, it's also a 16 benefit to the public. But so I'd like --17 like that insight. And I'd also would like to 18 know what your thinking is. Your insight you 19 had as a result of what we've heard. I guess, 20 let's start with -- somebody suggested that we 21 start from the least senior to the most 22 senior, except I'm taking myself out, even 23 though I'm very least senior. Using my prerogative to wait until I hear everybody 24 25 else. So that would be you, Dr. Johnston.

1 DR. JOHNSTON: Are you talking about age 2 senior? 3 MR. DUFFEY: No, tenure on the Board. 4 would still beat you on age senior, too. DR. JOHNSTON: I don't know about that. 5 Well, I -- this is certainly I think a 6 7 positive and gathering together to have this 8 type of meeting and the presentations and I thank you for -- for organizing this and --9 10 and pulling it together. And I think 11 everybody that's here that has questions and, 12 I mean, maybe seem to have comments. My goal, 13 as always, is election integrity. 14 whatever it takes to achieve that, and I think 15 that's true of everybody here on the Board. I 16 take this meeting as a maybe a springboard for 17 us to have further discussions of things that we might do to make suggestions to the 18 Assembly -- the legislature in the future. 19 20 And to work with maybe some formulation of some new rules that would -- would help 21 22 clarify areas that are maybe unclear. And I'll look forward to that process. 23 everything perfect? No. Could it be better? 24 25 Yes. Are we all working very hard toward

1 that? Yes. And once again, I thank you. 2 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you. Mr. Lindsey, 3 you're next. 4 MR. LINDSEY: I guess the next one falls to me, Mr. Chairman. A few comments here, if 5 6 I may. We've discussed here today how the 7 machines are supposed to work and how the system is supposed to work. But there are 8 9 somethings that we're going to need the public 10 here. And I want to reach beyond just the 11 group here in this room. I think the group 12 here in this room is pretty good at 13 monitoring. Based on my observations and 14 based on the emails that I get. But I want to 15 sort of reach out to the folks beyond just 16 this room to -- to encourage them to -- to let 17 us know. And let the Secretary of State's 18 Office know during the election process if you see something that is not correct to let us 19 20 know as soon as possible so that it can be 21 corrected. That's why I asked the question a 22 moment ago on how to -- how folks should best 23 get ahold of the Secretary of State's Office. During the audit process or any other part of 24 25 the election process. So it's incumbent upon

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all of us in the state of Georgia to make sure that the system that's been setup on how it ought to run, actually, does run. We need your help. All the way down to quite frankly looking at your ballot when it's printed out. I saw one, on (unintelligible) study not too long ago on the fact that a large number of people don't look at the ballots before they turn it in to the -- to the machine. And I find that appalling because there are a lot of us who have been advocating for that paper backup for a long time. I think I signed when I was serving the legislature. I believe it was 2006 was the first time I signed a bill asking for that paper backup. So the paper backup, which actually has now been raised to the paper ballot period. Is the -- the voter's best chance to make sure that his or her ballot is -- is accurate before it's submitted into the ballot box. So I'm going to encourage everyone to read the ballots. And then I'm going to encourage each of us if you see something at the polling places or at the counting station or at the audit process, to alert the Secretary of State's Office as

quickly as possible. And if it can't be rectified there, to file a complaint with us so that we can then deal with it. Because I think all of us together are necessary to make sure that the system as it should work, does work.

Secondly, Mr. Chairman, I want to sort of

-- sort of talk about a concern that I have,

and I raised it first with the gentleman from

Dominion. And I want to raise it again to the

Board here today. And also, to the general

public.

There have been detailed studies that have been taken regarding our security of our election system. The latest one by -- called Mitre. And for those of you who aren't familiar. It is a extremely well regarded a National Election security laboratory that's independent. That has reviewed a lot of the allegations that contain both by the Federal Government and by other folks in lawsuits. And I'll tell you right now, we've had a chance to read it but we can't tell you what's in it. Because -- because there is a gag order presently in place and it's secure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm not comfortable with that. And I want to see that Federal Judge who has placed that restriction. While she reviews the reports to release it. If necessary, redact any parts that would compromise cybersecurity. But I want to see that report out as soon as possible. So that folks like you and the general public can have greater confidence in the system. Or can at least evaluate your level of confidence in the system. And so, Mr. Chairman, at the appropriate time I want to make a motion that I want this -- this Board to be on record asking that the Federal -- respectfully asking because I'm a trial lawyer too. Respectfully, asking that the trial court expedite the release of those reports so that the general public can see and evaluate for themselves. I think that's the best way to ensure confidence. And so, Mr. Chairman, at the appropriate time, I'll make that motion. MR. DUFFEY: I'd say, while we're on the subject. Does anybody else want to say anything about the requesting Judge Totenberg to allow, appropriately so, because there --

there could well be information that everybody 1 2 contends would -- would be improper to disclose generally. I don't think there's 3 4 much of that. I think most of it is the evaluation. But I do think that we ought to 5 6 ask the Court whether it's -- gag orders where 7 you can't talk about something in public is 8 actually a protective order. But I think that that should be lifted. 9 10 MR. LINDSEY: I didn't mean gag order as a colloquial phrase but basically, it's 11 12 underneath the restriction right now. And I 13 think that -- and I've got a gentleman of the 14 press over here. And I know some other 15 members of the press too. How about going 16 back and talk to your lawyers and having them 17 file a motion to be -- be part of that attempt -- will you do that for me, Mark? 18 I'd love to see it. 19 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: 20 MR. LINDSEY: All right. Good. Didn't 21 mean to put you on the spot. But at the 22 appropriate time, Mr. Chairman, I would move that the -- that the Mitre report or any other 23 reports that are presently before Judge 24 25 Totenberg that are under seal be released to

```
the general public subject to any needed
 1
 2
         redactions for cybersecurity reasons. So that
         we can have the public evaluate and have
 3
 4
         confidence in our election system.
         approach the November 8th, 2022 elections.
 5
 6
         That's my motion, Mr. Chairman.
 7
              MR. DUFFEY: Is there a second?
 8
              MS. GHAZAL: Second.
              MR. DUFFEY: So it's been moved and
 9
10
         seconded that the Board ask Judge Totenberg,
         who's the presiding judge in the Curling case
11
12
         where these two reports exist for her to
13
         release any report having to do with
14
         cybersecurity and the Dominion system.
15
              MR. LINDSEY: Correct, Mr. Chairman.
16
              MR. DUFFEY: But that the -- that the
17
         Court in her discretion, she believes that
18
         there is sensitive information that should not
         be released to the public that she redact only
19
20
         those provisions and release the rest.
              So if -- it's a little recast.
21
22
              MR. LINDSEY: Yes.
23
              MR. DUFFEY: But any discussion on the
24
    motion?
25
               (no response)
```

MR. DUFFEY: All right. They're not 1 2 being any discussion. All of those in favor 3 say aye. 4 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. DUFFEY: Opposed? No. 5 6 (no response) 7 MR. DUFFEY: Passes. Thank you, Mr. 8 Lindsey. Next, Ms. Ghazal. MS. GHAZAL: Thank you, Judge Duffey, for 9 10 the opportunity to share my thoughts and to my 11 fellow Board members and our presenters today 12 for taking the time and effort. It helps to 13 understand the issues that we're facing. 14 AUDIENCE MEMBERS: Microphone, please. 15 Microphone. We can't hear. Turn all of them 16 up. 17 MS. GHAZAL: Thank you. We are already 18 well underway with the 2022 general election. 19 Voting is already happening. I also 20 appreciate that so many members of the public 21 are here. You're demonstrating your interest 22 in making sure that every eligible voter is 23 able to cast their ballot. And every ballot 24 is counted accurately. This meeting of the 25 State Election Board was called to evaluate

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what, if anything, could be done by this body in light of the very serious allegations emanating from Coffee County. Namely, that it appears that unauthorized persons may have been granted broad and extend -- extended access to every component of the voting system there.

The outcome of the election in 2020 is not in dispute by any reputable source. only issue at hand today is what steps would be appropriate to ensure that every vote in the 2022 general election is cast according to the will of the voter and counted as cast given what we believe likely happened in Coffee County. So I want to start with my conclusion which is that Georgia law does not authorize the State Election Board to unilaterally change the -- the system of voting in person from machine marked paper ballots to hand marked paper ballots. But I also want to make clear that even if for the sake for argument, I did believe that we had the authority to change our statewide system of voting, I would not do so today. heard this morning from some of the experts

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who are in charge of ensuring that our elections are secure. They detailed all the ways in which our votes are safeguarded and the overlap in security measures that would very quickly made apparent if there were nefarious actors or actions attempting to change the outcome of our elections. The most critical measures built into our system include logic and accuracy testing which is ongoing right now and is available and open to public observation. Documentation of chains of custody, our reconciliation and canvassing procedures, and most importantly the paper ballots and the pre-certification audits conducted with them.

Counties have heavy responsibility to implement and document these procedures and voters have a responsibility to verify that their ballots are accurate and reflect their choices. And to that end, a rule is already in place that requires counties to assign poll officers whose sole job is to remind voters to verify that their ballot is correct. This step is more important than ever, and I encourage counties to reinforce this reminder

to voters.

Make no mistake, the allegations regarding Coffee County and the damage that has been done to public confidence are very serious. Knowingly allowing unauthorized persons to access our voting system, our varied votes is a massive violation of the public trust and possibly state and federal law. I want to see every effort given to ensuring that law -- the law enforcement investigation is thorough, and justice is administered. Any party considering engaging in such serious violations of the public trust needs to understand that these actions will not be tolerated in Georgia.

A successful election must take into account three sometimes competing interests security, accessibility, and efficient administration. If the system neglects any one of these or if it's out of balance, then either the voter or the vote will suffer. Georgia system reflects an attempt to balance these issues and interests. I have trust in our election officials and in our voters to ensure that our elections will proceed

smoothly and securely, and that the outcome 1 2 will reflect the will of the voters. 3 you. 4 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you very much. Mashburn? 5 Thank you. Thank you, 6 MR. MASHBURN: Judge Duffey. If you could turn my mic on, 7 8 please. 9 I'd like to thank you, Judge, for putting 10 all your hard work and putting this meeting 11 together today is -- is an incredible amount 12 of work. I don't -- I don't think you 13 understood the word retirement. Because of 14 the incredible number of hours that you put 15 into this. So I appreciate all your -- all 16 your diligence and I thought this was very 17 helpful. I thought the presenters were very 18 kind with their time and their expertise. 19 I appreciated -- appreciated that very much. 20 I promise -- promise every citizen of Georgia, I will protect your vote. Everyone here on --21 22 every person on this Board is going to protect 23 your vote. If I see something wrong, I 24 promise you I will raise it. Okay. don't see something wrong, I'll also tell you 25

1 But I promise you we will protect your 2 vote. I promise you that. I will protect your vote. This Board will protect your vote. 3 4 The only way we cannot protect it is if you do not cast it. So don't let anybody tell you 5 6 you shouldn't vote for whatever reason they 7 give you. All right. And with that, I use my 8 seniority to say I have nothing further to 9 add. 10 MR. DUFFEY: You know, one -- one of the 11 privileges in life is -- is to work with 12 people who are bright, bring different 13 perspectives to -- to an issue. 14 hardworking. And do it not for any 15 renumeration. They do it because they think 16 that what we do in the form of voting is the 17 most principle elementary participation of a 18 citizen in their governments. And while it's -- that was nice to say how much time has been 19 20 -- and that I've been spending. I tell a lot of people that this work has invigorated me 21 22 because I think it's important. And it's totally in line with my values that I -- but 23

we -- like everybody has said, we need your

help and here are some specific things.

24

25

know when I opened with this description about what happened in Florida and the -- the fundamental principles to be they have to understand the law and they have to understand the facts. What's -- what overlays all of that is if we are -- we are trying to do that collectively, there's a productive way of helping us and a productive way of us communicating with you. And then there's an unproductive way.

The productive way is that if you think you have information that is important for us to look at, and not just us, but the Secretary of State's Office. Then you ought to give it to us as soon as you have it. And not wait until there's -- there's some maybe a time that you think is more calculated or at a time that's more convenient to you. It's easier even when you're not done with your analysis, to tell us what the analysis is so that we can see whether or not we can give you input to allow you to focus on the things that are -- that would be important to us. As opposed to what you think is important to you.

So at some point this has to become not

adversarial, us against you, it has to be collegial. Which is if we want to work together, there's got to be respectful communication. And there's got to be this -- this overlay which -- which I have -- which I regret a lot. And this overlay of suspicion of each other has to stop. You could disagree with us. If we could disagree with you. But if we don't communicate honestly, we will never know what we disagree about. And it's important to define those things.

The other thing I said, is we -- we need to know facts so that we can apply them to the law. I began our session with that -- with that discussion. You need to know facts before you apply it to the law. I know we've even had a couple of instances here where there's been a -- a -- an unequivocal statement about something that was wrong. And it's on us that one of those unequivocal statements made was about the status of the case heard by Judge Adams that it's not on appeal, it is on appeal.

I know that it's fully briefed on appeal.

It's an appeal that's before the Court of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Appeals. And when I -- and I have been a lawyer and I've been amazed at how well courts can ultimately evaluate a decision in our system to have a decision that then gets reviewed by another. It's sort of like an audit, looking at -- at an election to determine whether or not the count was correct. That we need to let the court system work. And then when the court system does work, we need to respect and trust it rather than saying the court got it wrong. So for that, you know, and for any other misstatement, I just want us all the backup and say, when we make an expression of something, let's be -- let's be more certain about it. One way of building trust is -- and -- and one thing that we are putting into place is -- and if anybody has emailed me in the last four or five days and a lot of you

have, there are a lot of you outside have.

Except for somebody who just makes a

statement, anybody who talks to me about the

Board and what it should do, I think everyone

of those emails I've answered.

And a lot of it is because I think that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if we're the government, you have a right to hear from your government. There's -- there's no -- I don't have a little mailbox that says this is an email. I really don't have time for it. I'm uncompensated so therefore I'm going to put it -- put it somewhere and let somebody else deal with it. Or they had their say. I'm not going to respond. And we are -you will have our emails, if they're not already on the website, they should be on the website. Meaghan, are they restored to the SEB -- SEB website? So you have a way of communicating with us. And we will our best -- what we're going to try to do without inconsistencies by looking at it in a centralized way and then we will respond. And the last is, I would just encourage you -- there -- there are a number of people who send things that are mean spirited to us personally and veil threats. I didn't stop sending emails when I got those, and I won't. But I wish that all of you who are inclined to do that, would cease sending them. This is too important to get my hackles up about somebody who doesn't exercise great

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discretion in their communications because I want everybody to say, this guy, these women, or these men, got an email and they -- and they didn't -- what's the current term -ghost you. I'm not sure that's right, but it's kind of the spirit of it. But we need to work more collectively rather than uncollectively. During -- during -- I'm going to talk to the Secretary of State's Office that I am concerned about -- and I had these concerns even in my work when we had a big investigation, that we would -- we had a way where we had a group of people that if somebody saw something that needed to be responded to quickly, we had a place where that would go that was distinguished from the regular communication systems. You know, I have seen at least in the last couple of days a couple of really troubling things which we will open an investigation on, or, at least, I think we have. That's the sort of thing that needs to be addressed right now and it can't go into some file and be put in line with other things that are not as critical. And I think during

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an election year, he's had to deal with the upcoming election. We need to have a way to respond more -- more quickly and promptly to stop something that if it's not stopped in its infancy might develop into something that is more mature and thus more threatening to the election process. So I'll talk to the Secretary of State's Office about that. know, Blake and Ryan and everybody at the Secretary of State's Office -- the one thing I've known for a while and one of the things we're going -- we're going to recommend to the General Assembly is we can't operate this State with as many people as we have with just 20 people in the elections division. we've got to be more thoughtful about the priorities we have and where we staff. Now, will -- will that happen? You know, sometimes it doesn't happen when the first time you -- you talk about it. It happens in successive years, but those are the sort of things that we're going to try to do to make the Secretary of State's Office have the capacity to respond to it. But I agree with

Matt and with Edward that we need -- every

time you hear something that you think is 1 2 going to impact an election and it's happening 3 right now, we need to know. We're going to 4 find a way to do that. If nothing else, you can send us an email. Send them to Mr. 5 Lindsey. I don't mind those at all. And, in 6 7 fact, I'm learning so much about this process 8 by getting them. So I thank you for being here. I thank you for those that are 9 10 watching. We are a citizen democracy and we -11 - and we appreciate very much your attention. 12 We're going to close out the meeting as we normally do with citizen comments. And --13 14 but -- but let's take -- this is going to be 15 true this time. It's going to be a five-16 minute break, but it's going to be a real five minutes as opposed to the 15-minute break that 17 18 turned into a 20-minute break. We -- so five minutes. And we'll be back with your 19 20 comments, and then we will be done. 21 (Break taken.) 22 MR. DUFFEY: All right. Let's everybody take their seats. Please, would everybody 23 please take their seats. 24 25 This is the public comment section.

will call you up as you're listed with the 1 2 exception of Cindy Battles who has to catch an 3 airplane. I'm going to let her go first. 4 MS. BATTLES: Thank you so much for moving me up and to outing me to everybody. 5 MR. DUFFEY: Well, that's okay. So long 6 7 as -- and so long as you remember it's a two-8 minute time limit. It's a two-minute time limit. 9 10 MS. BATTLES: I do remember. 11 MR. DUFFEY: And I --12 MS. BATTLES: And I'm very good with my 13 time limit as a matter of fact. Believe it or 14 not. My name is Cindy Battles, and I am the 15 Policy and Engagement Director for the Georgia 16 Coalition for the People's Agenda. 17 appreciate the effort that y'all have made 18 with this meeting. I don't know if it changes hearts or minds. I think that the time to 19 20 have inoculated misinformation and disinformation was when it first started 21 22 instead Georgia Assembly gave it a platform. 23 Which I think is why we're still trying to put 24 out a dumpster fire with a teaspoon. 25 However, what I would like to ask about

is specifically something to do with SB-202 and State Election Board rules. A few months ago you revised several -- 183-1-14-.12 which is the eligibility of application for an absentee ballot. And your revised rule states that quote: Any application for an absentee ballot sent to any voter by any person or entity except applications sent by the election superintendent or registrar at the request of the elector shall display the following disclaimer.

The rule goes on to describe the disclaimer but what we're asking is what is sent mean? Does that mean mailed? Does it also include delivery in person? We're asking because there's not enough guidance as to what an absentee ballot application forms volunteers should be using or what sent means.

We've got a number of people who have often helped people get absentee ballot requests. And just because Georgia is Georgia these days, I'm specifying we are helping people get absentee ballot requests not absentee ballots. Specifically, we have gone into Fulton County jails, not only registering

eligible voters to vote, but also helping them 1 2 get absentee ballot requests. And with the 3 ambiguity and the language, we're concerned 4 that we're violating rules. So I understand that the State Election Board doesn't normally 5 add -- answer questions, but we would love to 6 7 have some sort of guidance on that definition. 8 Thank you. MR. DUFFEY: Tell me the rule number 9 10 again, just so I have it in my notes. 11 MS. BATTLES: The rule number again? 12 Hold on one second. It is 183-1-14-.12. 13 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you very much. 14 MS. BATTLES: Thank you. Have a good 15 evening. 16 MR. DUFFEY: Have a good safe flight. 17 MS. BATTLES: Let's hope so. MR. DUFFEY: Mr. Balbona? 18 MR. BALBONA: I'll try to be quick. 19 20 George Balbona from Cobb County. 21 Secretary of State's Office is moving money 22 around from different POs, RPOs and VARs to 23 pay for various items such as VM cloud-based 24 services. SOS is also paying IT vendors that 25 are not on Merritt Beavers IT vendors list.

Just a couple of months ago, on July 19th, 2022, Merritt Beaver SOS CIO sent an email entitled: No more presidio to the SOS agency procurement officer. Merritt explained before processing any new PO to presidio, please let Eric and myself know as we are moving all of these to CDW. She replied, I was going to ask a question because I saw that presidio for 200K and the purchase of Haiku Neutronics licensed for CDW for 28K. Merritt responded there is one more presidio RPO left out there for neutronics that we are working on now to move to another. The other two Haiku and VM we moved to CDW, end quote.

Is this legal? I thought that when a purchase order was approved, those funds were to be used only for the purposes stated.

Also, SOS is blatantly playing games with my open records request. SOS combined three of my open records requests into a single cost estimate. (Unintelligible) were ridiculously inflated from 832 to 2,921 in the processing cost estimated rocketed -- sky-rocketed from \$235.77 to \$1,008.00. SOS made me resubmit my ORs and these numbers are for the exact same

open records requests. SOS bundled five of my other ORs into a single open records request. This is not how SOS normally processes open records requests. SOS has deemed my open records requests abandoned because they will not do as I have requested. Which is simply process my open records requests properly.

The reason I'm concerned about VM is because it was started by two Russians Veeam. They're now billionaires. I can give you their names. It's right here: Ratmir Timasher and Andrei Baronoff. And in Forbes it says they're in estimated 1,000 plus US companies in Russia including household names like Apple, iHerb and the entirety of the back office of VM software.

The reason that's important is because SOS has decided it would be a great idea to put all of our Georgia voter registration up on the Cloud. Guess who has the contract?

Yeah, VM. And I don't care if Nick Ayers,

Mike Pence's former chief of staff is on the board. Who wrote the software were Russians.

MR. DUFFEY: Thank you, Mr. Balbona. Mr. Favorito?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FAVORITO: Mr. Chairman, I'm the cofounder of Voter GA and we're plaintiffs in representative Phillip Singleton case that seeks relief against Dominion's QR code voting I needed to correct a few problems system. after the public comments today. The -- just to give you a little background, this QR code issue was adjudicated in Curling v. Raffensperger by Judge Totenberg, and she reviewed the most comprehensive set of evidence ever assembled in a Georgia election integrity case to my knowledge. And she issued three -- two orders totaling 300 pages the stating orders that apparently the State, among other things, the Secretary of State's Office was "not credible". Specifically, she found in regards to the QR code says, if a Dominion system "does not produce an elector verifiable paper ballot and the elector's choices are not in a format readable by the elector. That's because they're accumulated in the QR code. So she found that the system does not -does none of these things that are required by Georgia law. The Secretary of State's Office

appealed the decision. And -- or at least 1 2 part of it, and the Eleventh Circuit heard the decision, but has not made a ruling yet. So 3 4 the Secretary of State was able to use the system again in 2022. That's the background. 5 And the bottom line or the take away the 6 7 background is that the system that was issued 8 to conduct in the 2020 election was found to 9 be illegal by the United States District Court as not (unintelligible) or voter Georgia 10 11 talking. 12 But now to -- with that background, we 13 filed a case seeking relief not to re-14 adjudicate what had already been adjudicated, 15 extraordinarily, by Judge Totenberg. And our 16 judge, Judge Adams, never received any discovery evidence whatsoever. 17 18 adjudicated anything. Her first task was to rule on the sufficiency of our complaint. 19 20 instead, she dismissed that on the same day 21 that a hand count audit proved the dominion 22 system picked the wrong winners in the -- in DeKalb County District Attorney --23 MR. DUFFEY: Mr. Favorito, you need to 24 25 wrap up.

1 MR. FAVORITO: -- commission race. 2 MR. DUFFEY: You need to wrap up. 3 MR. FAVORITO: Okay. Sure. So that 4 audit in 2022, found that the third place candidate was shorted 3,000 votes. The first 5 6 place candidate received 1,400 unearned votes and 1,800 votes the system failed to count all 7 8 together. 9 MR. DUFFEY: All right. Thank you very 10 much. I appreciate your comments. 11 Seymoor? 12 MS. SEYMOOR: Thank you. I'm following 13 up on May 17th, 2022 letter that asked you to 14 make SEB rules compliant with federal and state retention law and the Board still has 15 16 taken no action on that. When SB-202 made 17 ballot images public record, Voter GA 18 submitted open records requests statewide for the original 2020 ballot images and found that 19 20 56 counties admitted destroying them and another 14 refused to legally comply with the 21 22 ORR. We also found that 102 counties 23 destroyed their drop box surveillance videos 24 for 181,507 ballots received from those boxes. 25 When we pointed out that they violated

the state and federal election record retention laws, the counties blamed SEB rule that permitted destruction of those election records in defiance of O.C.G.A. 21-2-73 and U.S.C. 20701. Voter GA's letter simply asked you to make SEB rules comply with federal and state law. And to instruct the counties to preserve the original ballots in lieu of the images until all current litigation is resolved. After four months the Board has taken no action.

While I realize that the Board composition is new, this lack of action is giving us the impression that the newly structured Board has no concern for election integrity, transparency, and fraud prevention. You can demonstrate your concern by immediately adjusting these two rules in question and requesting the counties to preserve the 2020 election ballots before the 24-month retention period expires in November.

Otherwise, Voter GA must file suit in October to force you to comply with the law and to preserve the ballots from the most controversial election in Georgia's history.

1 Thank you. 2 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you very much. 3 William Quinn? 4 MR. QUINN: Good afternoon, I believe it's afternoon. All right. I always try to 5 6 make my comments match or meet something that 7 was said during your hearing. I'm going to try to do that today. Let me start with this 8 9 week someone that I admire greatly said that 10 our country is in trouble. It can be saved. 11 But some very important things have to happen 12 and they have to happen very soon for it to 13 avoid going over the precipice. Perhaps it's 14 fitting that another Georgia is in the news 15 this week. Giorgia Meloni who Italy just selected as their Prime Minister. 16 17 Her base looked to her for guidance in terms of the fundamentals they should be 18 worried about. And they were three things: 19 20 God, family and country. I think you're going to find that as this country gets more and 21 22 more focused on the problems that we're facing, that you heard about through many of 23 the things today, they are going to drop back 24 to exactly those things. Because that, at the 25

end of the day, are the fundamentals that we all have to worry about. God, family and country. Truth is sometimes difficult, but it's enduring. We will see people try to paint it with various things they may call facts. But over time, information as it comes out will make that cheap paint crack off and the truth is going to be revealed.

One of the things I heard today is gosh, the counties and this Board can't take action absent law change. The fact is you've done it in the past. You did it in April 2020 by approving drop boxes. The problem is you didn't have the power of the law to do it. Right now, you say, well, we could act in an emergency. You have an emergency. It was just extended by the White House on September 7th. A National emergency with respect to foreign interference in and undermining public confidence in the United States elections. So you haven't taken action. I understand. I see you're resting on, we can't. Let me tell you how the people are going to fix this.

MR. DUFFEY: Okay. You need to wrap up here.

1 MR. QUINN: We need to -- we will vote 2 like we have never voted before. 3 MR. DUFFEY: Excuse me. 4 MR. QUINN: We will help other people get out and vote. And we will inform them why it 5 6 works, and we will focus on things like what 7 happened with Carrie Lake in Arizona and we 8 will focus on our own future. 9 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you. 10 MR. QUINN: Thank you. Remember, God, family, country. 11 12 MR. QUINN: JoEllen Shiver? 13 I just wanted to say MS. SHIVER: Hello. 14 that I do have a lack of confidence in the 15 Dominion machines. And that it's based on 16 what I saw happen in the 2020 elections and 17 even in the most recent primaries. I found a 18 lot of the the results to be questionable and one example I want to cite is the -- that race 19 20 in DeKalb County where there were three 21 candidates and one candidate had -- came up 22 with zero votes and demanded a recount, a hand And she ended up winning and I found 23 recount. this very troubling as to how many more errors 24 25 were there like this in how many races? How

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

many did we not catch? Is this human error? I've heard it was human error. But that concerns me that we would have machines that would, you know, have human error. But also, what other intervention can the machines get. If it's not just human error, what is -- what other human intervention can they get? My concern is also the software. Had we not -can we not audit the software? Can software not take one vote and equate it to .76 percent of a vote or 101 percent of a vote or flip votes? That's part of my concern. So I just would say I would love to get rid of these machines. Thank you. MR. DUFFEY: Thank you, Ms. Shiver. Ginger Bradshaw? MS. BRADSHAW: Hi. I just want to say that I learned a lot today which means that I've got a lot more to do on -- on my plate. But and some of the things I ask, you may not have control over, but I just think it would be good for you as the State Board being over all of Georgia and election to, I'm sure you know about it, but I just want you to let it -- let you know it's a concern of mine.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

representative from the Secretary of State's Office, I thought did a great job on talking about the -- the audits and how open and how transparent they were. But I know we were in several counties that was set up to do a hand recount of the votes. And the strong -strong arm from somebody came in and said, oh, no you can't do that. Well, part of the law, and everybody's been talking about the law today, why are we maintaining the ballots for 24 months if we can't get access to them? I know Garland has been in -- in a lawsuit that has just been pushed off, pushed off, pushed off. And then finally the Judge said, I'm going to dismiss it. So that's what gives us a bad taste in our mouth, the dishonesty. everything is so open and apparent, give us the ballots and prove us wrong. So I don't know how much power y'all have over there, but you do have -- you do talk to people and whatever. And the other thing, on another vote, I

And the other thing, on another vote, I
was a poll manager in the last couple of
elections and the girl that I worked with
worked for Fulton County on the registration

side and she told me the redistricting updates 1 2 weren't even done. And my school board race, like, a guy, Phil Chan, ran I think, and I 3 live in 7B and 7C which is not in his district 4 had his race on it. Nobody did anything about 5 6 So somebody, somewhere has got to lay the 7 law down and get -- and -- and you talk about 8 following our laws, but we're not following them. And somebody needs to do something 9 10 about it. Thank you. 11 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you very much. 12 Talbot? Mr. Talbot? UNKNOWN SPEAKER: What's the name? 13 14 MR. DUFFEY: Talbot. T-A-L-B-O-T. He's 15 not here. Mr. Nally? 16 MR. NALLY: Good afternoon, Your Honor, 17 ladies and gentlemen. I'm a little bit disappointed in this body. Not you, Your 18 Honor, you came along a little too late to get 19 20 included. Back in April I filed a complaint concerning over 20 elected public officials 21 22 who were held to be by our constitutional 23 language of our Constitution not qualified to 24 hold their offices by reason of being 25 illegally in possession of public funds.

May, I filed an inquiry. In June, I'm sorry. 1 2 I didn't file, I emailed an inquiry. And in June emailed again. I haven't emailed since 3 4 because I have been ignored from the very first filing. It would be nice if someone had 5 6 the decency to pick up a phone, drop me an 7 email. Let the citizen know that the law is 8 going to be complied with or it is going to be 9 ignored. I'd just like to know which. 10 Now, that was my first comment. My 11 second comment is that the -- it is my opinion 12 that it is for a judge to determine whether or 13 not a contract is void. The rest of us refer 14 to it as being void or not -- or not voidable. 15 The Dominion State of Georgia contract is 16 void, as a matter of law. And as a matter of 17 fact. I thank you, ladies and gentlemen. 18 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you, Mr. Nally. Jeanne `DuFort? 19 20 MS. DUFORT: Thank you for this remarkable meeting. I -- I can't think of a 21 22 meeting in the last 20 years that's had so 23 much interaction from Board members. And I really want you to know, and the public, and I 24 25 want you to know we noticed and I'm not the

only one that appreciates it. So thank you.

Mr. Poulos' presentation reminds me of your story, Judge, about the witness who described perfectly a wedding. But the wedding was on the wrong day. If you review the substantial record in Curling v.

Raffensperger. Since, let's call it August of 2019 when it started to focus on the BMP, their expert declarations, their transcript of hearings. And there's the writings of Judge Totenberg herself that would challenge the rosy picture painted by Mr. Poulos. I would encourage you all to take a look at it from the perspective.

Nearly six months ago, as Vice Chair of the Morgan County Dems, I joined Salleigh Grubbs from the Cobb County GOP and Ryan Graham from the Libertarian party of Georgia and dozens of candidates to ask you act to minimize risk to our elections in a manner consistent with existing law. Not to make new law. As the evidence mounts of what happened and compromise our State's voting system, starting in Coffee County, I'm going to refrain from saying, I told you so and simply

ask if not now, when? What would it take? 1 2 Georgia's elections software being pirated and distributed widely to well-funded characters 3 4 from the Stop the Steal Movement. If that didn't scare you, what will? If CISA 5 confirming the findings of Alex Halderman 6 7 isn't sufficient, what would it take? 8 urgent advice of 13 of our most well respected 9 experts in the elections space, if that isn't 10 compelling, what would you find compelling? 11 And not one, not two, not three, but eight 12 different days unauthorized individuals were 13 in the Coffee County election office. 14 investigator was in the office with one of the 15 perks and didn't bother to check his name as 16 far as we know. So I'm asking you again, please use your authority under existing law, 17 18 not new law to find the theft of our election 19 software to be an emergency rising to the 20 sufficiency to command the emergency paper 21 ballot system. Thank you. 22 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you. Mr. Ferguson, Earl Ferguson? 23 24 MR. FERGUSON: Earl Ferguson, a Fulton 25 County victim. I'm -- two years ago in

October of 2021 there was a ruling in the 1 2 District Court of the Northern District of Georgia case is Curling v. Raffensperger and 3 4 that investigated the security and capabilities of the Dominion machine. 5 pages of testimony. I read it all. And it 6 7 indicated that there were several issues that 8 even Dominion agreed with that required 9 action. Two years later there has been no 10 attempt by the Secretary of State or -- or 11 Dominion to correct those problems. I would 12 really like to know why? Thank you very much. 13 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you. Susie 14 Thotochanel? Is that right? 15 MS. THOTOCHANEL: Yes. 16 MR. DUFFEY: Close, right. 17 MS. THOTOCHANEL: I would like to start 18 by thanking the Board for their work especially in these thankless times. 19 My name 20 is Susie Thotochanel and I am a current 21 resident and voter in Fulton County. I've 22 lived in Georgia my entire life. And I have 23 voted in every election in which I was 24 eligible. But for me it has always been easy. 25 My career, my family, my location.

environment in which I lived always made it 1 2 easy. It's not always been easy for others. Their jobs, their communities and 3 4 unfortunately the restrictions sometimes set by the State made it difficult. Voting in 5 6 Georgia in 2020 was finally made a little 7 easier for those who wanted to vote, but 8 couldn't always make it work. And security 9 and accuracy of the 2020 election in Georgia 10 has been confirmed over and over and over 11 It is time to move on. Reasonable again. 12 people throughout Georgia, throughout our 13 State agree that all eligible voters should be 14 able to go to the polls and vote without fear 15 or intimidation. And that all eligible voters should have equal access to the polls. 16 should be just as easy for any eligible voter 17 to vote as it has always been for me. 18 asking the Secretary of State and the State 19 20 Election Board to focus your attention on the current election by providing all counties and 21 22 election administrators the assistance they need now to run free and fair elections. 23 Despite increased restrictions let's make sure 24 that easy access to the polls is available for 25

1 anyone. Thank you so much. 2 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you very much. Joseph Kirk? 3 4 MR. KIRK: Hello. My name is Joseph Kirk. I'm the election supervisor from Bartow 5 6 County. A lot has been said today, but I want 7 to briefly summarize what I think matters most 8 to election integrity as an election 9 administrator with a history of secure 10 transparent elections. First is pre-election 11 testing. We have to test and verify not only 12 the content, (unintelligible) ballots. But 13 the hardware that we're going to use functions 14 properly and accurately. And then we have the 15 chain of custody. We need chain of custody 16 for credible items as election technology, the blank, and most importantly voted ballots. 17 18 And incidentally chain of custody for blank paper does not do a whole lot to increase 19 20 election security. Third, reconciliation 21 procedures. We should be constantly 22 reconciling how many legal voters applied for ballots against how many have been issued, 23 received and counted, both in person, as well 24 25 as mail in ballots. And for bust canvassing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and consolidation procedures which happens election night and then the re-certification meeting. And that's the process of verifying that all and only legal votes have been counted and they have been reported accurately at all levels. Then finally, tabulation audits. We heard about today which are hand count procedures to compare results reported by the voting system to be human readable text on the ballots. And when done properly, these can and will detect issues with the voting system. We have to use election technology to facilitate our voting process. Hand counting is not nearly accurate or efficient enough to tabulate Georgia elections. But please know I do not mention how the ballot was marked or who makes that technology. Hand marked ballots are not a silver bullet that guarantees election integrity. Voters do, in fact, verify their ballots prior to casting them. Our system does count our votes accurately. And all these steps must happen no matter how the ballot is marked. I also wanted to mention -- was maintenance. constant plus every county goes through to

keep our risk clean. Eric helps a lot with 1 2 this. We -- we get information from our State 3 and other states and it's worth noting that 4 Eric does not add or delete voters just gives us information. And the last thing I want to 5 6 mention is transparency and the importance of 7 poll watchers. A well-trained poll watcher is 8 incredibly important to this process. 9 have to be prioritized and valued. They are 10 volunteering their time to observe a tedious 11 and mountainous process. They should be 12 thanked for their service. We should focus on 13 things that truly matter if we want rebuild 14 public confidence in our elections. Thank you 15 very much. 16 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you very much. 17 MS. GHAZAL: Judge, may I break protocol 18 and ask Mr. Kirk a couple of questions simply because he's not just a member of the public. 19 20 But also an election supervisor? 21 MR. DUFFEY: Yes. 22 MS. GHAZAL: Thank you. Mr. Kirk, can you tell us a little bit more --23 24 AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible) 25 MS. GHAZAL: Can us more about the

1 audits? The pre-certification audits that 2 you've been conducting since 2020? How -- how do you conduct them? Have you identified any 3 4 discrepancies? How many ballots are you using in -- in those exercises? 5 MR. KIRK: Absolutely. And I'll try to 6 7 be brief. I use the same procedures we used 8 in 2020 for every election that I've conducted since then. I -- I advertise the audit. 9 10 invite the parties to come as observers. have an observation area. I have auditing 11 12 teams of two people that sort and stack the ballots and counting the stacks of ten. 13 14 count those stacks of ten. The nice thing is 15 is with a little bit of practice it gets faster, it gets cheaper. The public has more 16 confidence in the result. So and we actually 17 count every ballot cast in Bartow County for a 18 single race for every single election. 19 20 give you an example, we audited the gubernatorial from this year and -- and had a 21 22 .1 percent. So .001 margin of error. And I have gone back in the past, not with that 23

audit, but previous audits to confirm that the

errors were made on the part of the auditors,

24

25

1 not the voting system. So I have a hell of a 2 confidence in our system. Any major discrepancies would have been noticed in the 3 4 audit both in the number of ballots cast as well as the -- the vote totals. And I've 5 6 never seen anything question the results of 7 the reported voters. 8 MS. GHAZAL: Thank you. 9 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you. 10 MR. KIRK: Thank you. MR. DUFFEY: Victoria Cruz? 11 12 MS. CRUZ: Hello, my name is Victoria 13 Cruz. I'm from Athens, Georgia. And a lot of 14 people have already mentioned some of the 15 things that I wanted to talk about. I -- I do want to tell you, Judge, I was very impressed 16 17 by the story you were telling about your time 18 in Florida. Because I was driving through Atlanta traffic trying to get here on time. 19 20 was listening to you. And, yes, you do have to delve deeper. Back then, you made a phone 21 22 call. Now, we have access to the internet. 23 You all have access to the internet. there's no reason why you can't research and 24 25 get information everyone can. And -- and this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is where we are right now and why we distrust. It seems like the mainstream media is telling one story, Dominion is telling one story, the Secretary of State's Office is telling one story. But there are other stories out there and how do you decide which one you're going to listen to? Obviously, you trusted the wedding coordinator because he brought the goods. We need to delve a little bit deeper, especially, with regard to the Coffee County case. Because I don't think you're getting all the information you need from the mainstream media. My last thing, because the previous speaker brought it up, is Eric our voter registration system. You need to delve further into that too. Eric violates HAVA and the data that it collects because it asks for the States to give them all those people who decline to register to vote. That is a person's right. If they decline to register to vote, why does Eric need that data? What legal authority does Eric have to get that data? And how is that data being used? And it's being collected from all the places, not just the DMV, that do the registration of

1 voters. From social services. From fishing 2 licenses. There are people who choose not to vote. Who choose not to share their 3 4 information with Eric and I think it's incumbent upon the Board to find out what Eric 5 is doing with that information and why they 6 7 need it. Thank you. 8 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you very much. 9 O'Mara? O'Mara? 10 MS. O'MARA: O'Mara. Good afternoon, my 11 name is Ann O'Mara. I'm from Cherokee County. 12 I've been speaking to our county Board of 13 Commissioners and Board of Elections since 14 January of 2022 to try and convince them to 15 move to hand marked paper ballots. 16 listen but continue to point me to this Board saying our best recourse is through the State 17 18 officials who plainly have the authority to do what is being asked of them. According to 19 20 O.C.G.A. 21-2-344 and 366, our two Boards do have the authority to use hand marked paper 21 22 ballots and direct the use of this optimal scanning voting systems. Every President 23 since Harry Truman who created the National 24 25 Security Counsel in 1947 has been a concern

for national security. On October 26, 2001, 1 2 the Patriot Act was signed into law. critical infrastructure was defined. 3 4 and assets whether physical or virtual so vital to the United States that the incapacity 5 6 or destruction of such systems and assets 7 would have a debilitating impact on security, national economic security, national public 8 9 health or safety or any combination of these 10 matters. In 2002, the US Department of Homeland Security was signed into law. From 11 12 2001 forward, the critical infrastructure continued to be strengthened and protected. 13 14 On January 6, 2017, election infrastructure was designated as a critical infrastructure 15 This allowed election subsection. 16 infrastructure to fall under the umbrella of 17 In September of 2018, then President 18 19 Trump signed Executive Order 13848 a lengthy 20 Executive Order titled Imposing Certain Sanctions in the Event of Foreign Interference 21 22 in the US election. In 2018, CISA was created in the same agency that just found nine 23 vulnerabilities in the Dominion systems in an 24 25 article published in June. Finally, on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

September 7th of 2002 (sic) Joe Biden signed a continuation of Trump's Executive Order titled Notice on Continuation of the National Emergency with respect to foreign interference in or undermining the public confidence in the US elections. So we have been under a national state of emergency for four years regarding the security of our elections. If the two most recent Presidents believe that the vulnerability of our election infrastructure is threatened, I believe the State of Georgia should, as well. Get rid of the machines and move to hand marked paper ballots for this upcoming election. you. MR. DUFFEY: Thank you. Vesu Abhiraman? Thank you, Judge Duffey, MR. ABHIRAMAN: for this meeting and esteemed members of the Board. My name is Vesu Abhiraman. I'm senior policy counsel with the ACLU of Georgia. also a Georgian native. I'm a former software and telecommunications engineer. interested in the subject matter. And I'm a proud poll worker I've worked about ten elections in the last three years since the

rollout of new equipment. And a poll manager, as well. My biggest beef with the current equipment is the power supplies are the heaviest things that I've ever carried. If I throw my back out, I'm going to come for the State of Georgia on a worker's comp claim.

Mr. Lindsey and Ms. Ghazal, you -- both of you talk about voter verification, for the ballots. And I will say it doesn't feel like the most natural part of the process now. I believe there are things that can be done with more prominent signage encouraging voter verification of the ballots. Doing everything we can to take it from voter verifiable to voter verified, I think that's pretty important.

In general, I want to talk about the effect of persistent misinformation around elections that we've seen over the past couple of years. Our local election officials are bearing the brunt of it. We believe in the rule of law where things like the voter challenge procedure or open records the counties have had to deal with. We believe that what we're seeing right now is local

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

election officials having to deal with these laws and allowances being used in a way that they weren't intended to be -- to be used for. And we hope that is Board can stand with local elections officials like Joseph Kirk. Hats off to them. Or if it's Joseph Kirk, hats on to -- to Joseph Kirk. Right now, there's a holy trinity going on in our election system. Low pay, long hours, misinformation at best and threats of political violence, at worst. We encourage you to do everything you can to stand up for our local elections officials. We hope people take advantage of all the opportunities of public observation. Like with many other things that Mr. Kirk was talking about. So if there's more correct information about what's going on in the system.

A couple of concerns I have going forward. The week before early voting, elections officials have to send out all outstanding absentee ballots. They have to process all the outstanding registrations in the three days after the deadline. And then they also have to prepare for early vote the

next week. Which is the -- the method of 1 2 choice for Georgia voters. And then in the four weeks between the election and the 3 4 runoff, they'll have to deal with so much, as well. We hope you stand with these election 5 6 officials and do whatever you can to make 7 their lives easier. Thank you so much. 8 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you very much. Anne-9 Gray Herring? 10 MS. HERRING: Hi, my name is Anne-Gray 11 Herring. I'm the policy analyst at Common 12 Cause Georgia. And I want to thank the Board 13 and Mr. Evans for the presentation on the 14 risk-limiting audits. We completely agree 15 that these audits are only going to serve to 16 help our elections and further the public 17 trust in the election results. We would 18 support, as was mentioned, an RLA after the runoff in addition to after the general 19 20 election in November. And would even encourage the State and counties to strive to 21 22 audit more statewide races then the one that's required, you know, two or more could be 23 24 audited. But we acknowledge that that's 25 difficult for counties and would encourage the

State Board and Secretary of State's Office to 1 2 provide as much support and guidance to counties as possible on their role in the RLA 3 4 process. And help them better plan and prepare for that. And while we think that a 5 6 risk-limiting audits are an important step 7 that can help dispel misplaced suspicions 8 about our election. We do want to acknowledge that it's not a solution for all the problems 9 10 that exist in our State and point out some things the risk-limiting audit cannot do. 11 12 won't resolve voter confusion or mask unfair 13 challenges to individual voters. It won't 14 resolve discriminatory practices and the 15 results do not show the effects of voting 16 barriers, like long lines, closed polling 17 places and relocated precincts and ballot 18 drops -- drop boxes. Nor can the RLA measure the desperate impact of heightened voter ID 19 20 requirements and ballot rejections. 21 you. 22 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you very much. Ted 23 Metz? 24 I am Ted Metz. I am a Cobb MR METZ: 25 County voter. And I would just like to ask

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Board to encourage precincts and -- and voting centers to actually hand count the ballots that are being produced by the ballot marking device. And post those results before the go into the scanner. Then that's the best way to test the scanners to see if the scanners are actually creating accurate That way we also have a record of -results. of the votes, the voter intent from the paper ballot which is, you know, legally considered evidence of voter intent. And that will restore confidence. If the numbers match, then we can put the whole thing to rest. But we need to do this every election. So that is something I'm asking of you is to make sure that at the county level, the precinct level that they are encouraged to actually do a hand count. We've got plenty of volunteers that are willing to sit and count the ballots by hand using old fashioned tally sheets and -and that, again, having a record of what the votes are cast, voter intent before they go in the -- in the scanners. And then comparing that the hand count to the scanner count that those numbers are equal, everybody's good.

they're not, then we know that there's a problem and -- and we need to solve the problem which is probably by getting rid of the ballot tabulators made by Dominion because we know that they can be hacked in about 37 different ways. The only other thing I would ask is that we actually restore some higher level of signature verifications for absentee ballots. And with that I yield.

MR. DUFFEY: Thank you very much. Amanda Pettyman? Prettyman?

MS. PRETTYMAN: Amanda Prettyman from Bibb County. Thank you Board members for having this meeting today allowing public comment. A famous communist said, it's not who cast the vote, it's who counts the vote. And in the State of Georgia, who counts the vote is the Secretary of State's Office and a private company, Dominion. Maybe that's not communist, maybe it's more fascist. But the count is -- while casting the vote is done in localities and in precincts. The count is actually highly centralized so it may look like it's not, but it's all a façade. Because the programming is done for counting and then

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's -- it's shrouded in secrecy. We have no ability to check the code to make sure it's one person, one vote. How is that vote being counted? And you might refer to audits, but Phillip Stark in reading his paper in Curling versus Raffensperger has, first count audit and re-count differ substantially with the 2020 risk-limiting audit. And with the roughly 3,200 precincts in Georgia, three to four votes changed in each precinct. change the outcome of the statewide election. And yet with a five percent or less, when you look at just these spot checks, you just have a few off, you -- no one does anything about They say, well, that's within reason. But if you don't look statewide, and you don't make sure that those ballots are -- are a true voter and a number of other things, then you don't really have a true audit. And so I don't have confidence in our system. And I --I would very much prefer that we hand count. If you can't get rid of the system for this election, at least add full hand counting. beyond the risk-limiting audit. In -- in Bibb County there were 26 in one precinct from the

1 primary in the Secretary of State's race. 2 the hand count was accurate. It was counted three times with the same results. And no one 3 4 has done anything. So we've asked to look more to see if that problem extends further 5 into other precincts or if it's isolated to 6 7 that one, and then from there determine what 8 the cause is. But no one will do it and part 9 of it is because they are scared of the 10 Secretary of State. I would prefer more, I 11 guess, more curiosity and let's just find the 12 truth instead of being so scared. Thank you. 13 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you. David Cross? 14 MR. CROSS: Mr. Lindsey, I understand you 15 missed me last time. 16 MR. LINDSEY: I always miss you, Mr. Cross. Good to see you. 17 18 MR. CROSS: That's weird it didn't count 19 all the votes. Those words were spoken by an 20 election worker last October in Williamson 21 County, Tennessee. An election observer there 22 learned that two precinct scanners did not 23 tabulate the votes properly. One tabulator had 163 ballots in it, but only recorded 79 24 25 votes. The other tabulator had 167 ballots,

1 but only 19 votes were counted. Williamson 2 County, Tennessee contacted the Tennessee Secretary of State. He conducted an 3 4 investigation and found that seven of their 18 5 scanners did not count ballots accurately. The Tennessee Secretary of State contacted the 6 7 United States government's Election Assistance 8 Commission to investigate. The government 9 conducted their own tests with Pro V&V, S&L 10 Compliance and Dominion all present. After scanning the ballots, they got the same 11 12 miscount of the ballots. Investigators reviewed the system log files which is nothing 13 14 more than a diary that the machine keeps of 15 every interaction it has with a person or a piece of paper. It showed multiple instances 16 of an error called a QR code signature 17 mismatch with a warning message of ballot 18 format or ID is unrecognizable. 19 20 noticed that the machines counted the votes 21 properly until the error was triggered. After 22 the error was triggered, every current ballot in the machine was not counted and every 23 ballot after it was not counted until the 24 25 machine was reset. The EAC Dominion Pro V&V

1 and S&L compliance report the cause as 2 inconclusive. After a month Dominion informed the government that the cause was an error in 3 4 the scanner software. The government asked 5 Dominion to fix it and a patch was made. As 6 part of the government's investigation, two 7 other states that used the same software were 8 notified of the problem, Alaska and Iowa. 9 EAC tells us there were no other reports of 10 this problem. It is isolated in Tennessee. Ι remember seeing that exact same error code in 11 12 Gwinnett County's 2020 primary files when I 13 was researching suspect results for Judge Kathy Schrader. Those Gwinnett finals from 14 15 2020 showed 84 instances of the Williamson error. We, the election oversight group, 16 asked the US government if the Williamson 17 error was found in any other states or 18 The author, please give me another 19 counties. 20 moment. The author told us there were no 21 reports of the Williamson error anywhere else 22 in the United States. The election oversight group placed open records requests for scanner 23 history files from all 159 counties. 24 25 you wanted us to present this -- this

```
information sooner, but we were unlawfully
 1
 2
         blocked and significantly delayed by Ryan
         German and Blake Evans. As of today, we have
 3
 4
         only files from 66 of 159 counties. So far 64
         of the 66 counties reporting have the
 5
 6
         Williamson error. That's 97 percent of the
 7
         Georgia files.
 8
              MR. DUFFEY: You need to wrap up, Mr.
 9
         Cross.
10
              MR. CROSS:
                           I'll get there.
                                            The software
11
         causing the error was originally written 2018.
12
         The software has not been updated on any
13
         Georgia scanners. The bottom line is is our
14
         work indicates the precinct scanners in
15
         Georgia have not counted ballots accurately
16
         since they were installed. The Secretary of
17
         State announced that he's going to be removing
18
         QR codes from the ballots. That will do
19
         nothing --
20
              MR. DUFFEY: Mr. Cross.
21
              MR. CROSS: -- to correct the issue.
22
              MR. DUFFEY: Mr. Cross, honor the time
23
         limit please.
24
                           I'm sorry?
              MR. CROSS:
25
              MR. DUFFEY: Honor the time limit.
```

```
MR. CROSS: I hope the press will take a
 1
 2
         look at this. I sent you files. Mark, I hope
         you will report on it.
 3
 4
              UNKNOWN SPEAKER:
                                 They won't.
 5
              MR. DUFFEY: If those are all the public
         comments, on behalf of the Board and behalf of
 6
 7
         everybody who presented today who provided
         information, I hope you found it valuable.
 8
 9
         For those that are watching, I appreciate your
         time and attention. And we look forward to
10
11
         seeing you at our next meeting. We'll be
12
         adjourned.
               (Whereupon, the proceeding concluded at
13
14
         1:47 p.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

STATE OF GEORGIA COUNTY OF GWINNETT

I, Rebecca, Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 3 through 199 constitute a true, correct, and accurate transcript of the testimony heard before me, an officer duly authorized to administer oaths, and was transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am a disinterested party to this action and that I am neither of kin nor counsel to any of the parties hereto.

In witness whereof, I hereby affix my hand on this, the 19th day of October 2022.

Rebecca Barr My commission expires April 1, 2023.